M2 Consulting, Inc. v. MRO Software, Inc., et al.

Thomas R. Bevington Vol. 1, July 26, 2005

Page 3

Page 1

Volume I Pages 1 to 258 Exhibits 2 to 52

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS (EASTERN DIVISION)

M2 CONSULTING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

: Civil Action

: No. 03-12589-GAO

MRO SOFTWARE, INC., and CRAIG NEWFIELD, :

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF THOMAS RICKEY BEVINGTON, a witness called on behalf of the Defendants, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

before Linda A. Walsh, Registered Professional

Reporter and Notary Public in and for the

Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, 40 Broad Street, Boston,

Massachusetts, on Tuesday, July 26, 2005, commencing at 10:02 a.m.

PRESENT:

Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C.

(By Mark S. Resnick, Esq.)

321 Boston Post Road, Sudbury, MA 01776,

for the Plaintiff.

Gesmer Updegrove LLP

(By Lee T Gesmer, Esq.,

and Kurt Bratten, Esq.)

40 Broad Street, Boston, MA 02109,

for the Defendants.

EXHIBITS, Continued

MRO

NO. DESCRIPTION

8 Document entitled "Presentation to 7 MRO Software by Rick Bevington, Tony Prelec on 1/25/01," Bates Nos. M2C000013-29

PAGE

9 E-mail dated March 14, 2001, to Rick 7Bevington from Tom Schutte

10 E-mail dated June 7, 2001, to Rick 7 Bevington from Tom Schulte with attachment

11 E-mail dated November 1, 2001, to 7 Bob Parker from Ray Miciek

12 E-mail dated November 20, 2001, to 7 Ray Miciek from Rick Bevington

13 E-mail thread regarding sale and 7 rental model

14 E-mail thread regarding new 7 spreadsheet

15 E-mail dated November 27, 2001, to 7 Bob Parker from Rick Bevington, Bates Nos. MRO00825-826

16 E-mail dated December 17, 2001, to 7 Ray Miciek from Iris Martin, Bates Nos. MR000483, MR000491-497

17 E-mail dated January 30, 2002, to 7 Bob Parker from Ray Miciek, Bates Nos. MRO00827-837

18 E-mail with attached agreement 7

19 E-mail regarding MRO Software 7 Agreement and Exhibit A dated May 17, 2002

Page 2

INDEX

WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS THOMAS RICKEY BEVINGTON

BY MR. GESMER

EXHIBITS

MRO

NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE

2 Document entitled "MRO Software, 7

Inc. Maximo Hosting Affiliate

Agreement between MRO Software, Inc.

and M2 Consulting, Inc."

3 Document entitled "Agreement between 7

M2 Consulting, Inc. and PSDI for

Maximo Application between M2

Consulting, Inc. And Project Software & Development, Inc."

4 Document entitled "Amended

2----

Complaint"

5 Document entitled "Business Plan 7

PSDI Maximo and M2 Application

Hosting Services, 9/1/99," Bates

Nos. M2C000273-290

6 E-mail thread re software hosting 7

agreement, Bates No. MRO02628

7 E-mail thread re update

7

	Page 39	Page 4
[1] A: I felt I already had it.	[1	A: Writing in the margin. Sorry. I'm an
[2] Q : Explain what you mean by that.	[2	g old-fashioned guy.
[3] A: The only piece of the proposed business	[3	Q: So am I. That must be an Atlanta
[4] plan that MRO indicated was not really their cup of	[4	expression.
[5] tea was the forming of a separate business entity	[5	A: I don't know.
[6] with M2 — partnership, legal partnership with M2,	[6	Q : Did you understand that this Paragraph 3 in
[7] you know, and making cash investment into it. They	[7	the March 2000 agreement gave MRO the discretion to
[8] just don't have those types of relationships	8)	provide M2 with leads?
[9] apparently. I don't think they do now. The rest of	[9	MR. RESNICK: Objection.
[10] it looked like a good deal for everybody.	[10	A : The — sure.
[11] Q : Who wrote the March 2000 agreement?	[11	Q: Now, as the first year of this agreement
[12] A : I wrote it. Ted Williams, you know, tuned	[12	began to unfold, late March 2000 through the end of
[13] it.	[13	the year and through to March of the next year, you
[14] Q : Do you have the drafts of this agreement		observed that MRO was not giving its salespeople an
[15] that preceded the final version?	[15	incentive to sell your service, correct?
[16] A: I know I have seen various times the draft	[16	
[17] with the knuckle notes on it. I can't guarantee	[17]	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
[18] that I saw it and forwarded it to you fellows or	i	were unhappy with that, correct?
[19] it's been lost or whatever. But, yeah, I have seen	[19]	, , ,
[20] it.		doing what they would typically do with a service
[21] Q : But if you have them you have provided them		product rollout, inform their salespeople that this
[22] in this case, correct?		solution is available, tell them, you know, how to describe it to the client and how to price it, tell
[23] A: Yes, I have seen them. If I could find [24] them, you have got them, yes. No reason to withhold		them what's in it for them and what are their
[24] them, you have got them, yes. No reason to withhou	<u>.</u> [24]	them what s in it for them and what are then
	1	

	Page 40		Page 42
[1]	it.	(1)	chances of success and other things salespeople want
[2]	Q : Did drafts of this agreement go back and	[2]	to know. None of that activity was occurring. And
[3]	forth before the final was arrived at?	[3]	I want to just back up one other. There is another
[4]	A : No. There is really the original version;	[4]	line down here that was written with a view to MRO
[5]	then there was Ted's comments; then there was a	[5]	providing the sales force power on this, and that's
[6]	discussion with Nancy Gilroy to try to read and	[6]	under "General," No. 1.
[7]	interpret Ted's comments; then there was the final	[7]	Q: What page? Are you back on Exhibit 3?
[8]	that she and I signed and I am sure he read.	[8]	A: Yes. I'm sorry.
[9]	Q : And at any point did you attempt to put in	[9]	Q : Where are you on Exhibit 3?
[10]	this agreement a commitment by MRO to roll out the M2	[10]	A: Page 2 under "General," Item 1.
[11]	service to the MRO sales force?	[11]	Q: Yes.
[12]	A: Yes.	[12]	A: That was an assurance that the activity
[13]	Q : And where did you express that?	[13]	would occur on M2's part when PSDI brought the
[14]	A: In the agreement. It would be in — under	[14]	prospects to bear. We didn't expect them to finish
[15]	"PSDI agrees to provide Item 3."	[15]	the sales cycle. We expected them to take it to a
[16]	Q : Did you write that sentence?	[16]	certain point.
[17]	A: Yes.	[17]	Q : Now, again, going back — I understand.
[18]	Q : And did you write the words, "as they deem	[18]	Thank you.
[19]	appropriate"?	[19]	Going back to the first 12 months of the
[20]	A: That may have been Ted's knuckle note. I		relationship, say March 2000 to March 2001, MRO was
	don't remember. I may have done it as an	[21]	not providing the sales force power that you wanted
	accommodation on an understanding of their business,	[22]	them to provide, correct?
[23]	but I don't remember exactly.	[23]	A: Correct.
[24]	Q: What's a knuckle note?	[24]	Q : And what is it that they were not doing

mas R. Bevington ol. 1, July 26, 2005

M2 Consulting, Inc. v. MRO Software, Inc., et al.

	Page 51			Page 53
[1]	of this seat versusAll of those things that you	[1]	service after the agreement was signed?	
[2]	said I expected. I didn't focus very much on	[2]	A: Oh, yeah, yeah. Yes, Ted Williams said	
[3]	compensation at that time.	[3]	that. Milton Bevington said that. Chip is hard to	
[4]	Q : What conversation — before the March 2000	[4]	get ahold of, but you know, he's the one that gets	
[5]	agreement was signed what communications did you	[5]	the ball rolling after the '99 meeting and then	
[6]	have with MRO that caused you to believe that these	[6]	delegates. It was delegated to Ted, and my brother	
[7]	activities would occur after the agreement was	[7]	worked for Ted. And at the time Ted was sales and	
[8]	signed?	[8]	marketing so he had a lot on his plate.	
[9]	A: Every communication I had. There would be	[9]	Q : So the statements I have asked you about	
[10]	no other reason for me to have these — to	[10]	were said to you by Mr. Williams, by your brother	
[11]	communicate with MRO. I mean, it was understood	[11]	Milton Bevington, and by the president of MRO,	
[12]	from the outset that, you know, nobody was doing	[12]	Norman Drapeau?	
[13]	this. It's an alternate delivery methodology. It	[13]	A: Yes.	
[14]	has the prospect of incremental revenue to MRO. We	[14]	Q: What did Mr. Drapeau say to you on this	
[15]	have got somebody else over here who is going to	[15]	subject?	
[16]	invest in it. It's kind of a no brainer. They are	[16]	A: I don't know the exact words, but you know,	
[17]	a little ahead of the curve. We don't want to be on	[17]	"Good plan. Let's do it." You know, "Look forward	
[18]	the bleeding edge. Let's go, and that's from	[18]	to the mutually beneficial outcome," you know, that	t
[19]	Drapeau on down.	[19]	kind of stuff. You don't see Chip all the time. It	
[20]	Q : Were you represented by a lawyer when this		was subsequent to the '99 meeting. I have seen Chip	
[21]	2000 agreement was being negotiated?	[21]	in a couple — three times during this whole proces	S
[22]	A: No. Can't you tell? I wrote it.	[22]	of getting it done, but he'd try not to have to go	
[23]	Q: I can tell.	[23]	back to the well.	
[24]	A: Yes. I'm sorry.	[24]	Q : Have you told me everything that	

Page 52 Q: I understand that you are saying that every [2] communication supported your expectation that this rollout would occur after the 2000 agreement was [4] signed, but can you recall for me specific words in which MRO indicated that to you or expressed that to you? A: I doubt I can remember specific words, but there was a need to get this agreement, the '00

agreement, in place before we could do that, meaning MRO. I don't want to call it foot dragging, but there was — it was probably low priority, but there was some meaningful time frames between the major mileposts in moving this forward, and in all of those discussions and all of the encouraging phone calls and e-mails I would make between here, the '99 presentation and this one (indicating), it was all about, "Yes, we want to get this going, too. Yes. we want to get this going, too. We just need to get the papers in place." It was never a question in my mind. We selected MRO to be our partner in this.

Q: Let me ask you again, can you recall any [22] specific communication or conversation with MRO [23] where MRO — and by "MRO" I mean an employee or [24] executive — told you that MRO would roll out this

Page 54 [1] Mr. Drapeau said to you on the subject of the [2] rollout after the March 2000 agreement was signed — [3] strike that. That was not a good question.

Have you told me now everything that Mr. Drapeau said to you on the subject of the [6] rollout prior to, before the March 2000 agreement was signed?

A: I believe so.

Q: And you mentioned your brother Milton Bevington. Are you close to him as siblings? [10] [11]

A: Not like other siblings are probably.

Q: Do you have any agreement or understanding [12] with him regarding sharing the proceeds of any [14] judgment or settlement in this case?

A: No. [15]

Q: What did Mr. Williams say to you regarding [16] the rollout of your service before the March 2000 [17] agreement was signed? [18]

A: He essentially delegated the execution [19] road. When the CEO says, "We are going to do this. [20] Ted, you take the lead," Ted's job is to execute. [21]

Q: But my question to you, Mr. Bevington, is [22] what did Mr. Williams say to you on this subject? [23] [24]

A: Well, a whole lot of stuff about, you know,

	Page 55	Page 57
[1] got to get the agreement, got to get the agreement, [2] then we can roll it out. Then we can get started. [3] Then I can have executed what Chip told me to [4] execute. There is no renegotiation, reevaluation, [5] rethinking, restating of what had already been [6] decided between Ted and I. The decision had been [7] made. [8] Q: And the decision was made at the meeting [9] where the September 1st, 1999, business plan was [10] discussed? [11] A: Yes. That's typically — [12] Q: And — [13] A: I'm sorry. [14] Q: End of question. [15] A: Yes. [16] Q: So did you talk to Mr. Drapeau between that [17] meeting and the March — and March 23rd, 2000? [18] A: I don't recall, but I more than likely did [19] either by voice or e-mail. [20] Q: So the — at that meeting, the September [21] '99 meeting, Mr. Drapeau — you presented the [22] business plan, and Mr. Drapeau said, "Sounds good. [23] Let's go forward" — subject to there not being an [24] investment, but he said, "Let's go forward with		didn't go to Ted.

Page 56 Page 58 [1] using M2 as a company that will provide hosting A: Could you repeat the question, please. [1] [2] services for Maximo"? **Q**: Well, the previous question — let me try [3] it again. What I'm trying to do here is exhaust A: Yes. [3] Q: In essence? [4] your memory, which is a term that lawyers use a lot, [4] [5] about communications you had with MRO before the A: Yes. [5] [6] March 2000 agreement was signed where this rollout Q: And what did he say at that meeting about [6] [7] rolling out the product to the MRO sales force? [7] was discussed, the rollout by MRO of your service A: He delegated it to Ted, Ted on the sales was discussed? A: I probably told you all that I can [9] force. Q: What did he say? [10] remember. The focus — you know, these things go in [10] A: Ted will take the lead on getting this done [11] steps. Prior to any rollout or rollout — major [11] [12] rollout discussion a document had to be in place. [12] or something to that effect. [13] So once that's in place and you go to the next, the Q: Do you recall more specifically what he [14] next. I may have had several conversations with my [14] said about the rollout? A: Well, the "it" in getting it done is, you [15] brother to try to learn about the MRO sales force, [16] its structure, its organization, you know, how many, [16] know, get the relationship documented, you know, and [17] then, you know, introduce and roll this out to the [17] you know, how they are organized, da-ta-ta-ta. [18] sales force, what we had discussed in the business [18] Q: Now, after the agreement was signed, as the [19] plan, net of the partnership. [19] first year or so following the agreement unfolded, Q: Is that in effect what Mr. Drapeau said to [20] you realized, firstly, that MRO was not rolling out [21] Mr. Williams at or just after this meeting? [21] the product — should we call your company's A: Yeah, because that's why Mr. Williams [22] hosting — your Mantis for Maximo, should we call [23] that a service or a product? [23] became my contact. Before that I brought — you [24] know, I went to Chip to make this presentation. I [24] A: I call it a service

M2 Consulting, Inc. v. MRO Software, Inc., et al. Thomas R. Bevington Vol. 1, July 26, 2005

MRO Software, Inc., et al.	Vol. 1, July 26, 2005
Page 151 [1] North America. You could tell. [2] Q: Well, after Mark asked Mr. Williams about [3] that I couldn't help but get some clarification of [4] that critical point. [5] A: I thought it might joggle a couple of [6] memories there. [7] Q: No, afraid not. [8] A: Maybe not. [9] MR. RESNICK: No, we tried. [10] Q: Now, during this meeting — this golf [11] meeting with Mr. Williams and Mr. Parker, was [12] business discussed? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: What business was discussed? [15] A: If we sign this new agreement will [16] we — will you in fact do what you have always said [17] you are going to do, roll it out to North American [18] sales or roll it out to sales I think I said. And [19] the both of them replied, "We'll roll it out [20] immediately to North American sales" with Thayer [21] Stewart and I. I can run the video in my head but [22] unfortunately you can't see it. And that was the [23] commitment we wanted to hear on the, you know, MRO [24] side, and then we had to weight that with the Indus	Page 153 [1] Q: You wrote — at the top e-mail to [2] Mr. Parker you wrote, "I shared one" — you said, [3] "There are only a couple of issues I'm trying to get [4] with Ray on. I shared one with Nancy but I think [5] it's out of her domain (sales quotas for M2)." Did [6] you mean by that that this was a business issue that [7] she didn't have the authority to resolve? [8] A: No, no. I meant that she didn't [9] understand. She'd started a negotiation on an item [10] by using the VAR agreement giving me a quota that, [11] you know, had had too much going back and forth. [12] She obviously didn't understand. Maybe not — yes, [13] she just didn't get it. [14] Q: After this meeting at the Abbey, this golf [15] day at the Abbey with Mr. Williams and Mr. Parker, [16] you did not write to either of them and say in [17] effect, "I want to confirm the agreement that we [18] reached yesterday, that if we sign this new [19] agreement with a 50 percent commission you will roll [20] out our service to your sales force"? [21] A: No, I didn't. [22] Q: Look at Exhibit 29, please. Do you [23] recognize this e-mail, first page? [24] A: Yes.
Page 152	Page 154 [1] Q : You wrote — before — if you look at Page

```
Q: And did you at that point say to
 [3] Mr. Williams and Mr. Parker, "Well, you know, we
 [4] have been talking about this for two and a half
 [5] years. You never did the rollout after we discussed
 [6] it in '99. Let's put this in the agreement that we
 [7] are negotiating"?
      A: No.
 [8]
      Q: What other business was discussed at this
 [9]
[10] golf day?
      A: I can't remember any. It was probably just
[12] chitchat. The purpose of the event was to lock them
[13] in on we have got the 50 percent now. Now Chip is
[14] waiting. Everybody is waiting. You know, we have
[15] been doing this forever. Is this in fact the last
[16] thing I have got to do in order to have you roll
[17] this out, sign this new agreement, get me on new
   paper. He wrote, "Yes, sir."
      MR. GESMER: Let's take a short break, five
[19]
[20] minutes.
       (Recess taken from 2:43 to 2:51 p.m.)
[21]
                          BY MR. GESMER:
[22]
      Q: Going back to Exhibit 28 for a moment.
[23]
```

A: Yes, sir.

[24]

[2] 2 you'll see that this is a response to her July [3] 11th e-mail which we have already looked at that was [4] Exhibit 26 where she says, "It doesn't make sense [5] that MRO has a sales quota for M2, therefore, I put [6] the original back in"? A: Uh-huh. **Q**: And there are a couple of e-mails between [9] you and Iris Martin in between but then eventually [10] you send her a response in which you say, "We have [11] accepted your changes except as noted. I believe [12] the real issues are in the area of quota/sales [13] responsibility and termination obligations. We need [14] to see MRO take some position vis-a-vis the sales [15] channel. I assume that there will be other hosting [16] affiliates. How will we fare 'sales lead' wise with [17] these others. Termination for convenience still [18] does not give us the business/investment protection [19] we need. What will happen to those customers who [20] want to renew 'post termination'? Will MRO want to [21] assume that business or another 'hosting affiliate'? [22] Do we buy seats to continue? Would we be given that [23] opportunity on a fair basis? I just don't know. [24] These may not be questions that you can answer, but

Page 19
sales, question and
ll with your customer. I
The compensation
Bob said okay, had
er of putting it
the world was into
e thing.When we
ery many Webcasts.
at into the thing,"
bcast in the spring
That's a noun that
revious discussions
a viable method of
ences or external
ring it back up
I signed the
RO World?
either occasion?

	3		
[1]	training or seminars or whatever, you know, as it	[1]	Q : July 2002?
[2]	had always been.	[2]	A: That would be correct.
[3]	Q : What did you understand the compensation	[3]	Q : Did MRO cooperate with you in that respect?
[4]	plan to the MRO sales force was at that point or was	[4]	A: Yes, sure. I paid them the \$25,000, and I
[5]	going to be under this plan?	[5]	got what you get for it.
[6]	A: I didn't know anything more than I had	[6]	Q : Do you remember MRO introducing M2 to the
[7]	funded it. I had made arrangements to fund it 50	[7]	Geography Aviation Public Sector Region?
[8]	percent as opposed to 20. I signed that document.	[8]	A: Geography Aviation Public Sector Region,
[9]	We are really going to go this time. The document	[9]	would that be at that particular conference?
[10]	is signed, and I'm going, okay, we are really going	[10]	Q: Yes.
[11]	to go this time. Crank it up and do it.	[11]	A: Well, what I remember is that the Collier
[12]	MR. GESMER: Could you read the answer to	[12]	Mosquito Control District, who runs a fleet of DC-3s
[13]	two questions back to me, please.	[13]	and other type aircraft to spray the Naples area for
[14]	*(Answer read)	[14]	mosquitoes, that we had implemented Maximo there,
[15]	Q : Do you know what the — did you have an	[15]	and that Stacey Welsh, the customer's
[16]	understanding with MRO at this point — at this	[16]	representative, was presenting at that particular
[17]	point in time when you wrote this November 2002	[17]	session. And we were there because we had done
[18]	e-mail, November 19, 2002, e-mail, did you have an	[18]	something that MRO had never done before, and they
[19]	understanding with MRO as to what the announcement	[19]	wanted to use it as reference. It wasn't a hosting
[20]	would consist of?	[20]	job.
[21]	A: Well, I assumed we'd just go back to some	[21]	Q: Look at Exhibit 38, please.
[22]	of the work we had done in the past when it was on	[22]	A: Yes.
[23]	the verge of being rolled out. We had written a	[23]	Q : Did you sign this document?
[24]	document I think you produced here that was a press	[24]	A: Yes.

Page 192

Page 194

M2 Consulting, Inc. v. MRO Software, Inc., et al.

Thomas R. Bevington Vol. 1, July 26, 2005

Page 199

[1] pushing this ball forward. If Ray gets — you know,

[2] there is a lot of little impediments that have been

[3] thrown up over the previous three years. We don't

[4] want Ray's inability to construct the message to be

[5] the next one. And this had been, you know,

[6] circulated, what, a couple of months before anyway.

[7] **Q:** What did you mean when you said — when you [8] asked, "Did you guys release QuickStart to sales?"

[9] What did that mean?

[10] A: This agreement for professional services.

[11] This one. It's Exhibit 38. This agreement which I

[12] signed on January 3rd is the provision for them

[13] buying QuickStart services from me and reselling [14] them to their end users. We would be their back

[15] room, back office. So I negotiated and signed an

[16] agreement. I was following up to see whether or not

the salespeople were selling it, separate deal from

[18] hosting.

[19] **Q:** How would the sale of QuickStart by MRO's

[20] sales force work?

(21) **A**: Our implementation methodology is hugely

[22] more efficient than most software vendors. So, you

[23] know, the description that we went through earlier

[24] about what QuickStart is, that we can do all that

[1] think that's why this came about.

Q: Okay. That happened before that

[3] professional services agreement was signed?

[4] **A**: Yes

[5] **Q**: Before January of 2003?

[6] A: I believe so, yes. It must have been.

[7] MR. GESMER: Next exhibit, please.

(Document marked as MRO

[9] Exhibit 42 for identification)

[10] **Q**: Do you recognize these handwritten notes?

[11] **A**: Yes.

[8]

[12] **Q:** Is this your handwriting?

[13] A: Yes.

[14] **Q**: And when did you take these notes?

[15] **A**: June 17th, 2003.

[16] **Q**: And what — would you read the notes to us,

[17] please.

[18] A: The first one in quotation marks, "Contrary

[19] to all I have talked to you in the past," end

[20] quotation. Well, at the top it says, "Bob Parker

[21] 6/17/03." The next quotation mark sentence is "I

[22] have learned a lot about this stuff over time,"

[23] close quotations. Circled sentence in the center

[24] "Ten user minimum - out. I apologize" and

Page 200

[1] stuff, put it up in a matter of days, do an

[2] iteration with the customer and boom, let's go, that

[3] could be anywhere from a \$10,000 to a \$100,000 job.

[4] Any job below 50, 60, 75, 80, \$100,000 is of no

[5] interest to MRO's professional services group. So

[6] it benefited Ray to use our implementation services

[7] which were inexpensive enough that if he marked them

[8] up to what we sold them for in the marketplace they

[9] would be making their run rate gross margin as they

[10] would in regular professional services.

[11] **Q:** So the idea was that MRO would sell your [12] services, your consultant services, in the form of

[13] QuickStart?

[20]

A: No. They would sell software and

[15] implementation, okay, for a price, and they turn

[16] around and have us do the work and then they deliver

[17] that to the customer. They bill the customer. The

[18] customer pays them. We bill MRO, and MRO would pay [19] us.

Q: Did that ever happen?

[21] A: No. Well, not per this signed agreement,

[22] but we have — we have done professional services

[23] for MRO that were sold by MRO, delivered by us, paid

[24] to MRO and MRO paid us, but not as formal as — I

[1] "Everything he told us was true. He doesn't make

[2] company policy. There won't be an opportunity."

[3] **Q**: Now, would you tell me what you said and what Mr. Parker said during the conversation that

[5] lead to your writing these notes.

A: I had been informed several days ahead of

[7] this by Miciek that I was going to get a phone call [8] I didn't like. And that was confidentially. When

9 Parker called me, which he almost never does, I

[10] wrote down what he said. One of the sentences was

[11] "Contrary to all I have talked to you about in the

[12] past." [13] **Q:** Y

Q: You have already read this.

[14] A: I'm sorry.

[15] **Q**: I don't want you to reread it.

[16] **A**: Okay.

7] **Q:** Reading this once is fine. What I am

[18] asking you to do is to tell me what you remember

[19] about the conversation. And if you don't remember

[20] anything more than is written here, that's fine, but

[21] I would like your independent recollection of the

[22] conversation.

[23] A: Essentially what's written here is what was

[24] said. I tried to write down everything Parker said.

Page 202

Page 201

Page 203	
He did say that they are going to go into the	[1] Q : Did that meeting take place?
hosting business, and I said, "Does that mean you	[2] A : Yes, sir.
are not going to roll our service out to your sales	[3] Q: Where?
force?" And he paused and he said, "Well, yeah. I	[4] A: Naples, Florida.
guess that's what it means." And the rest is	[5] Q : Who attended?
essentially on this paper.	[6] A: Chip Drapeau, Bill Sawyer, Ray Miciek,
7] Q : These are his words?	[7] Thayer Stewart, myself; that's all I remember. If
8] A: Yes.	[8] there was more there was only one more or so.
9] Q: What did you say?	[9] Parker may have been there. I don't know.
of A: The bulk of what I said was, "Does this	[10] Q : And what was the — what did you understand
n mean you are not going to roll out our program to	[11] the purpose of this meeting to be — well, strike
2] the sales force?" Because I wasn't willing to make	[12] that.
3] the connection between them going into the business	[13] Who called the meeting?
4) and them breaking their agreement with me.	[14] A: We did, M2, I did.
5] Apparently there is a very tight connection in some	[15] Q : And was it as a result or a response to
6] people's minds. And I am sure I said, you know, our	[16] Mr. Parker's call to you on June 17th?
7] good-byes. I didn't burn any bridges or anything.	[17] A: Yes.
Q: Have you told me now everything you	[18] Q : And what did you say to MRO would be the
g remember about this conversation?	[19] purpose of this meeting?
A: Yes, everything I remember as of this	[20] A: That's all I said.
1) second.	[21] Q : So Mr. Drapeau and Mr. Sawyer traveled down
MR. GESMER: Now, let's mark Exhibit 43,	[22] from Massachusetts?
gaj please.	[23] A: No. They had a professional services
24]	[24] meeting in conjunction, you know, in Naples. So
Page 204	Page 20
(Document marked as MRO	[1] like I flew in and I think Jeff Foley was there and
2) Exhibit 43 for identification)	[2] then Thayer flew in from someplace he was at. But I
Q: Now, after you had the conversation with	[3] think the MRO guys were in doing business already
Bob Parker on June 17th you sent him this e-mail	[4] there.
about ten days later?	[5] Q: And did you tell anyone at MRO either in
s A: Uh-huh.	[6] another writing or by voice what you viewed the
q Q: Is that right?	7] purpose of the meeting to be?
A: Yes, sir. O: You described MRO's decision to become a	[8] A: I may have talked to Ray Miciek, you know, [9] and told him.
Q: You described MRO's decision to become a first party bester meaning they would host	
of first party hoster, meaning they would host	[10] Q : What was the purpose of the meeting, in
themselves, right?	[11] your mind?
2) A: Yes.	[12] A: To sell them our Maximo hosting business. [13] Q: To sell your company to them?
Q: You were a third-party hoster?	
a: Yes. G: They would be a first-party hoster, right?	[14] A: No. To sell our Maximo hosting business. [15] Q: To do an asset sale to them?
Q: They would be a first-party hoster, right?	[15] Q: To do an asset sale to them?

A: Yes.

A: Yes.

Q: "We view this as a very positive step for

[18] MRO and a potential opportunity for M2 Consulting.

[19] We will bring a tabletop discussion presentation on

[20] our perspective. We look forward to a productive

[21] and exciting discussion. See you there." Now,

[22] what — and it refers to a meeting on July 1st, 2

[16]

[23] p.m.?

[16]

[17]

[18]

[21]

[23]

[24]

[22] referring to?

A: Yes, sir.

A: Essentially, yes.

[20] financials, all the customers.

Q: You presented this to them at this meeting?

Q: There is a PowerPoint presentation you are

Q: Was there any discussion of MRO's decision

A: Yes, and I believe that July 1 presentation

[19] you have, which outlines all the business, all the

Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO Document 91-2 Filed 03/15/2006 Page 10 of 54

EXHIBIT

В

NOVEMBER 17, 2005

CondenseIt[™] ROBERT K. PARKER 11/17/05

NO	VEMBER 17, 2005	Condensel	t ROBERT K. PARKER 11/17/0
1 2 3	Volume: 1 Pages: 1 to 209 Exhibits: 60 to 73	Page 1 1 2 3	Page INDEX WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS Robert K. Parker (By Mr. Resnick) 5
4 5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 03-12589-GAO	5	E X H I B I T S NO DESCRIPTION PAGE 60 E-Mail dated 3/6/02, Bates No. MRO 00135 161
7	M2 CONSULTING, INC.,) Plaintiff)	7	61 E-Mail Chain dated 7/15/02, Bates Nos. MRO 00175 to MRO 00177 161
8)) vs.)	8	62 E-Mail Chain dated 3/01/02, Bates No. MRO 00133 161
9) MRO SOFTWARE, INC)	10	63 Letter dated October 21, 2002 161
11	Defendant)	11	64 Handwritten Notes dated June 3 161
12	DEPOSITION OF ROBERT K PARKER,	12	65 E-Mail Chain dated 5/30/03, Bates Nos. MRO 03467 to MRO 03469 161
13	a witness called on behalf of the Plaintiff, pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Massachusetts Rules of	13	66 E-Mail Chain dated 11/4/01, Bates Nos. MRO 00075 to MRO 00077 161
14	Civil Procedure, before Judith R. Sidel, Professional Court Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the Commonwealth of	14	67 E-Mail Chain dated 9/26/02, Bates No. MRO 00191 161
16	Massachusetts, at the Office of Gesmer Updegrove, LLP, 40 Broad Street, Boston,	16	68 E-Mail Chain dated 9/28/02, Bates No. MRO 00194 161
17	Massachusetts 02109, on Thursday, November 17, 2005, commencing at 10:00 a.m.	17	69 E-Mail Chain dated 4/4/02,
18 19		18	Bates No. MRO 00149 161 70 E-Mail Chain dated 4/27/2002,
20	****	20	Bates No. MRO 00154 161 71 E-Mail Chain dated 4/16/02,
21		21	Bates No. MRO 00152 161 72 E-Mail Chain dated 5/2/02,
22	SHEA COURT REPORTING SERVICES	23	Bates No. MRO 00155 161
24	ONE UNION STREET, SECOND FLOOR BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02108-2408	24	73 E-Mail Chain dated 11/16/01, Bates Nos. MRO 00078 to MRO 00082 161
		Page 2	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	1	STIPULATIONS
2	MARK S. RESNICK, ESQUIRE FEE ROSSE & LANZ, P.C.	2	It is hereby stipulated and
3	321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On behalf of the Plaintiff	3	agreed by and between counsel for the
4	On behalf of the Plaintiff	4	respective parties that the deposition
5	KURT E. BRATTEN, ESQUIRE	5	will be read and signed under the pains
6	KURT F. BRATTEN, ESQUIRE GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	6	and penalties of perjury. It is also
7	On behalf of the Defendant	7	stipulated that the notarization will be
8	On behalf of the Defendant	8 9	waived. Failure to sign transcript
9 10		10	within thirty (30) days will deem the
11		11	signature waived.
12		12	It is further stipulated and
13		13	agreed that all objections, except as to
14		14	form, and motions to strike are reserved
15		15	until the time of trial.
16		16	* * *
17		17	ROBERT K. PARKER, a witness
18		18	called by counsel for the Plaintiff, upon
19		19	production of driver's license, being
2()		20	first duly sworn, was examined and
21		21	testified as follows:
22		22	MR. RESNICK: My name is Mark
23		23	Resnick. I represent the plaintiff in
			this action. Before we start, Counsel, I

OVEMBER 17, 2005	CondenseIt [™] ROBERT K. PARKER 1	1/17
	Page 45	Page
MR. RESNICK: Strike that.	1 A.Met in his office. He presented his	
Q. What did he say when you said that to	2 proposition, or what he felt his company	
3 him?	3 could do for us. We toured the facility,	
A. That he had a need for that particular	4 and looked at the infrastructure he had	
solution to deal with Datastream on the	5 in place. He explained how they dealt	
occasion where they brought up that	6 with the products and such.	
option.	7 Q. Did he give you any business plan or	
Q. Did you give him permission to continue	8 paperwork at that point?	
to explore a possible relationship with	9 A.I can't recall whether he did or didn't.	
M2'?	10 Q.How many days was this trip?	
A. Yes, I did.	11 A.One.	
Q. What was the next thing you heard after	12 Q.Back and forth the same day?	
that about M2?	13 A. Correct.	
A.l can't recall exactly what I heard	14 Q. And how much time did you spend with	
next.	15 Mr. Bevington?	
Q. When did you hear back from Ray about	16 A. Approximately two hours.	
	17 Q. What was your impression?	
anything else having to do with M2 after you had that first discussion?	18 A.A little company, it was providing a	
A. He came back at some point in time, and,	19 service to a small market.	
again, I'm not certain when, indicating	20 Q.Did you request any additional	
that it was somebody he wanted to work	information or material from M2 at that	
	22 point?	
_	23 A.No, I did not.	
I know I made a trip to Atlanta to investigate, because I wanted to see	24 Q.And after your trip to Atlanta, did you	
mreorigate, occurred to see		
the company, physically see it, see if	Page 46 have further discussions with Miciek	Page
they had an infrastructure in place,	2 about M2?	
physically check out this company to make	3 A. Sure.	
sure it was even real. It wasn't two		
	4 Q.Can you summarize what was said during	
guys in a garage.	5 those discussions, to the best of your	
Q. Do you remember what year you took that	6 memory?	
trip? A. No. I do not.	7 A.I continued my concern about the size	
A. No, I do not.	8 of the company, and the viability of	
Q. Tell me about the trip? Did you meet	9 a company that size, whether it was	
Miciek in Atlanta?	really a market that warranted the	
A. No, 1 did not go with Ray.	amount of energy and effort, the cycles	
Q. Did you go with anyone?	we were putting into it. I was never	
A. No, I did not.	enthusiastic about the market.	
Q. When you got to Atlanta, did you meet	14 Q. When you say "the market", you mean North	
somebody from M2?	15 American mid-tier?	
A. Yes, I did.	16 A. No, I meant hosted requirements. In	
Q. Who?	other words, how many customers really	
A. Rick.	wanted to host their services.	
Q. Mr. Bevington?	19 Q. And when you say was it really worth the	
A. Mr. Bevington.	amount of energy that MRO was putting	
Q.I want to make sure we got the same Rick	into it, what exactly was required on	
here. You can call him Rick. Can you	22 MRO's side? Strike that. What were you	
describe for me what happened during that	referring to when you just said the	
trip?	24 amount of energy and effort required to	

NOVEMBER 17, 2005 CondenseIt[™] ROBERT K. PARKER 11/17/05

N(VEMBER 17, 2005	Conder	nse	ROBERT K. PARKER I	1/17/05
		Page 61			Page 63
1	of that.		l	issue specifically during the trip?	
2	Q. Are you aware that M2 invested in the		2	A. I believe so, yes.	
3	hardware to develop the web hosting		3	Q. Can you tell me what you said to	
4	capability for MAXIMO?		4	Mr. Bevington on that topic?	
5	A. Yes, I am.		5	A. I had a concern that they were a very	
6	Q. And are you aware that the amount of that		6	small company, and we had a large number	
7	investment was approximately \$1 million?		7	of salespeople. And how would they	
8	A. I believe I saw a document to that effect		8	support that effort?	
9	since we started this process.		9	Q. And when you say, "How would they support	,
10	Q. Let's put a time frame on that then.		10	that effort", what specifically were you	·
11	When did you first become aware that	1	11	referring to?	
12	MRO was buying the hardware in order		12	A. Well, I always see a relationship with	
13	to set up this capability?		13	a company like this as they're going to	
14	A. I don't believe MRO ever bought the	1	14	create incremental revenue. I already	
15	hardware.		15	paid for 30 some odd salespeople, who can	
16	Q. I'm sorry, I misspoke. When did you	1	16	go out and sell directly. I was trying	
17	first become aware that M2 bought the	i	17	to find out and figure out how he was	
18	hardware to develop this capability?		18	going to create incremental revenue with	
19	A. Early on. I already stated I made a	l	19	me without any staff.	
20	visit there, and saw the hardware they	1	20	Q. And what did he say to you about that?	
21	had in place.		21	A. That he would bring staff on to help	
22	Q. Did Mr. Bevington, during that visit,		22	support the sales force as the	l
23	tell you that if this relationship went		23	relationship grew.	
24	forward, he would also add personnel?		2 <i>3</i> 24	Q. And what did you understand him to mean	
27	Torward, he would also add personner.			Q. And what did you understand film to mean	
		Page 62			Page 64
1	A. I couldn't say if it was at that visit or		1	when he said he would bring staff on to	
2	not, no.		2	help support, and when you say "the sales	1
3	Q. Sometime early in the relationship did		3	force", you mean MRO's sales force?	
4	Mr. Bevington tell you that he was also		4	A. Correct.	
5	going to hire personnel to support the		5	Q. What did you understand him to mean when	
6	MAXIMO web hosting?		6	he said he was going to do that?	
7	A. He did tell me he was going to hire a		7	A. That he would hire people to find	
8	salesperson.		8	opportunities as well as to support any	
9	Q. What about other personnel for technical		9	opportunities our sales force found that	
10	support, and other administrative support		10	would require them to come in and talk	
11	necessary to put the web hosting on		11	about their services, because incremental	
12	MAXIMO in place?		12	to me means something that we can't do on	
13	A. I don't believe I would have discussed		13	our own.	
14	that. I was only concerned about how	1	14	Q. And there were then two possible ways	
15	they were going to support the sales	1	15	that a web hosting sale might happen.	
16	force in selling the services or the	1	16	Either your sales force would pick it up	
17	software. The technical aspect was	1	17	and direct it to M2, or M2's to-be-added	
18	somebody else's business.		18	staff would go out and find it, and do it	
19	Q. When you made that first trip to Atlanta,	1	19	on its own; is that fair to say?	
2()	were there any discussions about how M2		20	A. Correct.	
21	was going to support the sales effort on	2	21	Q. Were there any other ways discussed about	
22	the hosting side?	2	22	how leads might be developed and divided	
23	A. Sure. I had a definite concern.	2	23	out?	
24	Q And you and Mr. Bevington discussed that	2	24	A.I don't know of any other.	

4,	OVEMBER 17, 2005	Condens	eIt [™] ROBERT K. PARKI	
1	that?	Page 133	don't know why he expects so much out	Page 135
	A. No.		of that arrangement.	
2		2	•	
3	Q. What exactly did he tell you that M2 was	3	Q. Did Miciek ever tell you that Mr.	
4	looking for?	4	Bevington was looking for a roll out to North American sales that contained	
5	A. He told me that M2 was looking for more	5		
6	leads from the sales force.	6	a certain set of steps?	
7	Q. And did he have any suggestion or	7	A. He may have, but I would have been	
8	recommendation as to what MRO ought to do		resistant to that. North American sales,	
9	in light of this request that was coming	9	I had specifically one of my regional	
0	out of M2?	10	managers was totally opposed to that. I	
l	A. You're asking	11	probably would have told him that's not	
2	Q. Did Ray have any suggestions about what	12	very practical.	
3	to do about the fact that M2 was looking	13	Q. Which regional manager was that?	
4	for this level of support?	14	A. Ted Davis.	
5	A. He may have. Again, our conversations	15	Q. Why?	
6	went a lot like, Why do I keep spinning		A. He felt it was counterproductive to	
7	cycles on this? I don't even think we	17	direct sales within his market, which	
8	generated \$100,000 in its entire period	18	was the utilities marketplace.	
9	of time we're talking about. During	19	Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr.	
0	that period of time I was responsible	20	Bevington, prior to June of 2003, about	
l	for about \$90 million in business; so	21	his request that MRO undertake some typ	e
2	I'm trying to I usually would push	22	of specific roll out to North American	
3	back very strongly every time the subject	23	sales?	
4	was brought up of why we need to do all	24	A. I believe I did, yes.	
		Page 134		Page 136
l	this. It's not really worth it. He	1	Q. Do you remember when you had the	
2	would indicate that they expected more.	2	discussion with him?	
3	We still needed a tool, and he wanted	3	A. No.	
4	to try to work with them. I would say,	4	Q. Can you tell me, to the best of your	
5	Okay, then do something. What are we	5	memory, what you said to him, and wha	
,	going to do?	6	he said to you?	
7	Q. I don't know.	7	A. Well, I know he wanted to do a roll out	
3	A. No. That was rhetoric to say to Ray.	8	to the entire sales force. I remember	
)	Q. Did Ray have any ideas?	9	trying to be diplomatic respective to how	,
)	A. I'm sure Ray had some ideas about working	10	much efforts and time we would spend of	n
l	with the sales force. Again, it was	11	this effort, keeping in mind that I was	
2	limited to as far as I was concerned,	12	always concerned about the amount of	
3	this was a mid-market. We had five	13	time and energy to be spent, and	
4	salespeople working in a mid-market,	14	also deflecting the attention of our	
5	responsible for maybe a million dollars	15	salespeople into something that wasn't	
6	worth of revenue. They were needed	16	perceived as something that we would	
7	in rare instances where one of our	17	get a large market out of. I probably	
3	competitors made an offer that we	18	indicated that we might consider it if	
)	couldn't provide. So it was not you	19	we had the right parameters, and we get	1
)	know, I said, Work with him and do what	20	compensation plan together, and that kin	
•	you can. But, I mean, what are we going	21	of thing. In my attempt to try to be	
	to do? Tell the salespeople, your sales	22	diplomatic and say, "We might do it if	
	and you know lat them know what	22	we get the right circumstances in place "	

guys, and, you know, let them know what

we're doing, but I don't expect -- I

23

we get the right circumstances in place."

24 Q. At the time that you said that to Mr.

NOVEMBER 17, 2005

CondenseIt MR ROBERT K. PARKER 11/17/05

N	OVEMBER 17, 2005	Condens	eit KOBEKI K. PAKKEK 11/1//0
		Page 141	Page 143
1	money in it to make good business sense.	1	convenience. Were you involved in the
2	Q. Can you think of any other terms that	2	negotiation of that?
3	you're aware of that were different?	3	A. That's standard language in all of our
4	A. No.	4	partner agreements.
5	Q. Now, the increase in the percentage	5	Q. And do you believe then that that
6	of revenue, whose idea was that?	6	language would have been included in the
7	A. Mine.	7	2000 agreement?
8	Q. And was that simply to address the fact	8	A.No, I'm talking about now. At that time
9	that there wasn't enough strike that.	9	
10	Under the 2000 agreement, and I'll	10	
11	represent for you that the percentage	11	practice in our new agreements.
12	that MRO was entitled to was 20 percent,	12	
13	and then under the 2002 agreement it rose	13	
14	to 50 percent.	14	A. Right.
15	A. Uh-huh.	15	Q. After the 2002 agreement was signed
16	Q. Was that increase simply so that MRO	16	in November of 2002, did you have any
17	could try to get more incremental revenue	17	discussions with Rick Bevington in which
18	out of the deal, or was that increase	18	
19	necessary to incentivize MRO sales force	19	
20	in selling the service?	20	American sales in a way that it had not
21	A. It didn't make any sense for 20 percent.	21	
22	There just wasn't any revenue in it.	22	A. You use the word "roll out". A partner
23	That's why I said if we don't make it	23	
24	more, I'm not going to deal with it	24	perceive a roll out. Again, standard you
-		Page 142	Page 144
1	anymore.	1 4 5 7 1 2	send out an e-mail. Even in our program
2	Q Did you ever say to Rick Bevington, If	2	
3	you want us to roll out to North American	3	
4	sales, we have to have 50 percent instead	4	
5	of 20 percent?	5	
6	A. No, I don't remember saying that. We	6	
7	did have those are two disjointed	7	We have plenty of examples of that with
8	conversations. In other words, we did	8	
9	have a conversation about we have to	9	
10	increase the sales to 50 percent, and in	10	
11	an entirely different conversation we	11	that he believed that MRO had agreed to
12	may have discussed that we need to do	12	
13	something with the sales program, but	13	
14	they wouldn't be joined together.	14	
15	Q. So do you recall any conversations with	15	
16	Rick Bevington where he said, We can do a	16	
17	new agreement with a 50 percent payout to	17	
18	MRO, but I have to have a more aggressive	18	
19	roll out to North American sales?	19	
20	A. Not to me, no.	20	
21	Q. Let me just discuss a couple of other	21	Q.I just want to understand the source of
22	provisions. I'll represent to you that	22	your belief that Mr. Bevington felt that
23	the 2002 agreement has a clause in there	23	way. Did he say something to you? Did
24	that allows MRO to terminate at its	24	
24	that allows MRO to terminate at its	24	Miciek report that to you?

EXHIBIT

C

NOVEMBER 30, 2005

CondenseIt TM

RAYMOND MICIEK, JR.

NO	VEMBER 30, 2005	Condens	selt RAYMOND MICIEK, JR
		Fa 1- 1	Page 3
	triume Tamesi Jusa	1	1 INDEX
د	Ext. Ir in the con-	2	2 WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
	MY EN GRADEN O GREETE HER COGRESSON F MEGGENGERGET G	3	3 RAYMOND MICIEK, JR.
:			4 (By Mr. Resnick) 4
-	MA CONSPICTED, 19 . Plant ti		5
•	75 Syst February		6 EXHIBITS
	MRC SOSTWARE, 10 ,		7 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
'n	Delentan'	8	
;			9 111 e-mail 127
ii.	DEPOSITION OF PARMIND MUSICE, UP., a with-	10	
1	tailed in tehsif of the Foundtiff, taken parsuant to	1	
,	Notice under the applicable programing it the Federal	11	
	Rules of livel Police-Bure, refere barrana J. Simon, a	12	
4	Professional Shortmand Reporter and Notary Public, in	13	
٦	and for the commenwealth of Massachuserts, at the	14	
6	offices of Geomet Opieds Me, 40 Broad Otteet, Boston,	15	
	Massachus Cos, on Wednerlay, November 30, 2005,	16	
ਰੇ	commencing at 10:15 a.m.	17	
n		18	
G		19	
-		20	
	·	21	
	SHEA COURT REPORTING SERVICES (617) 21 0.2027	22	
1		23	
		24	4
1	APPEARANCES	Page 2	Page 4
	MARK'S RESNICK, ESQ	1	STIPULATIONS
?	Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C 321 Boston Post Road	2	2
4	Sudbury, MA 01776 (978) 440-7000	3	It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and
;	Counsel for the Plaintiff	4	between counsel for the respective parties that all
	LEE T GESMER, ESQ	5	objections, except objections as to the form of the
7	Gesmer Updegrove LLP 40 Broad Street Boston, MA 02109	6	question, and all motions to strike, shall be
· ·	(617) 350-6800 Counsel for the Defendant	7	reserved to the time of trial.
)	Council for the Determinant	8	It is further stipulated and agreed that the
)		9	deponent shall have thirty (30) days in which to read
		10	and sign the transcript, under the pains and
		11	penalties of perjury, after which time it shall be
3		12	deemed to have been signed, and that the
		13	certification, filing and sealing of the deposition
		14	transcript are waived.
		15	5
,		16	RAYMOND MICIEK, JR., first having been
		17	
		18	
,		19	
,		20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
		21	
		22	
		23	
.1		24	_
			A. VICAL HATTING.

NOVEMBER 30, 2005 Condenselt IM RAYMOND MICIEK, JR. Page 25 Page 27 a company? 1 company names. 2 A. The only investigation that I did was with Q. At some point, did you stop using M2 as an discussions with Milton Bevington. 3 3 implementation services provider and begin discussing 4 Q. Did you visit M2's facility? the possibility of M2 hosting MAXIMO? 4 A. I've been to their facilities. Again, the time frame 5 5 A. No, because they're independent offerings. of when I went there I can't recall. 6 Q. So from 1999 through 2003, is it your recollection 6 Q. Do you know whether Bob Parker visited the M2 7 that M2 was providing implementation services for facility in Georgia? 8 8 certain customers in the mid-tier, separate and apart 9 A. I do. 9 from any MAXIMO hosting services? 1() Q. Did he visit that facility because you had suggested 10 11 M2 as a possible implementation services provider? 11 Q. Do you remember what year you began speaking with M 12 A. No. 12 about the possibility of hosting MAXIMO? 13 Q. Do you have any understanding as to why Mr. Parker 13 A. Not specifically. 14 chose to visit M2? Q. Okay. Do you recall anything about preliminary 14 15 A. Because we were looking at them at the time Bob 15 discussions with Rick Bevington or anyone else at M2 16 visited them as a hosting partner. 16 about the possibility of hosting MAXIMO? 17 Q. So after you received the lukewarm response from the 17 A. Yes. 18 Services group, did you do anything else to try to 18 Q. Can you tell me what you remember about those initial 19 get a relationship between MRO and M2? 19 discussions with M2? 20 A. Specifically for the implementation services? 20 A. It's tough because, again, there's a lot of Q. Yes. conversations that get jumbled up. I can't recall 21 21 22 A. I would say that I would work with the Services 22 specific commentary on that. 23 manager, present them potential opportunities where I 23 Q. How about just general understanding that you 24 thought they would be a good fit. I don't recall the 24 obtained from those conversations? Page 26 Page 28 specifics on those. ł A. The general understanding is the model which they 1 2 Q. So do you mean that after getting this lukewarm 2 were proposing which is a rental model or an ASP response, if you got some feedback from Sales that model where they merely hosted the software; they 3 3 didn't purchase it. 4 "Here's a place where we could use an implementation 4 5 provider like M2," you would contact the manager of 5 The client brought the software to them and they the Services group and say, "I think these guys might provided the hardware infrastructure for the 6 6 work there'" 7 customers to access them. 8 A. That's correct 8 Q. How does that differ from the rental model? 9 Q. Did M2 actually do any implementation services work 9 A. The rental model would be one in which M2 purchases 10 for anyone that you suggested to the Services manager 10 or rents the software out to the customer. The 11 that they might be a good fit for, in this period of 11 customer pays a fee both for the hardware 12 time? 12 infrastructure as well as for the software. 13 A. They did. 13 O. But the hardware infrastructure and software would 14 Q. Do you remember who? 14 still be on M2's central software? 15 A.CA. Computer Associates comes to mind. 15 A. That's correct Q. And do you recall how that worked out? Q. What was your initial response when Mr. Bevington 16 16 A. I do; not well 17 began discussing these two models? 17 Q. Why didn't it work out well? 18 A. Positive. 19 Q Why was it positive? 19 A. I would say partly because the customer was not the 20 A. We had a competitor at the time that was beginning to 20 easiest to work with 21 offer hosting services. 21 Q. Do you know if M2 did any other implementation work 22 22 at this point, other than for Computer Associates, It seemed like a good fit, that we were running into that competitor, and they were oftering hosting 23 23 that you were aware of? We didn't really offer hosting

24

24

A.1'm sure they did, but I don't have the specific

Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO Document 91-2 Filed 03/15/2006 Page 19 of 54

NOVEMBER 30, 2005 Condenselt RAYMOND MICIEK, JR

NO	VEMBER 30, 2005 Cond	ensel	$\mathbf{RAYMOND\ MICIEK, JR.}$
	Page 29	9	Page 31
1	We had to find some sort of fit. Rather than	1	Do you have any understanding as to who
2	turn the clients over to that competitor, we wanted	2	interacted with M2 to get that agreement finalized
3	to fight and do our best to win the deal.	3	and signed?
4	Q. Who was the competitor?	4	A. I don't.
5	A. Datastream.	5	Q. Did anyone at MRO tell you that they were in the
6	Q. At some point, did you begin the process of	6	process of negotiating an agreement with M2 which
7	presenting M2 to either the Services group or the	7	would have meant M2 web hosting MAXIM0 in 2000°
8	Alliances group as a possible hosting partner?	8	A. I can't pinpoint a specific person or time frame. I
9	A. Again, I was not the one that introduced them, from	9	was aware of that agreement; it was coming.
10	what I recall. So my answer would be no.	10	Again, whether that conversation came from Rick
11	Q. Who did?	11	Bevington or internal, I can't recall specifically.
12	A. I don't recall who it was.	12	Q. But you have a general memory of becoming aware that
13	Q. How did you first begin interacting with M2 as a	13	such an agreement would be in place?
14	possible applications hosting provider for MAXIMO at	14	A. That's a fair statement.
15	all, if you remember?	15	Q. Did you suggest to MRO at any time that MRO sign the
16	A. My best recollection is that I had been talking to	16	agreement with M2 in March of 2000, permitting M2 to
17	Rick about implementation services.	17	web host MAXIMO?
18	There was an agreement signed somewhere in	18	A. No, not to my recollection.
19	between the end of '99 to the middle of 2000 that	19	Q. And so I'm clear, in 2000 you were working with M2 as
2()	said that they were going to host, and then we	20	an implementation services provider?
21	started discussions about possible opportunities.	21	A. Yes.
22	It was not uncommon once a partner was signed	22	Q. At some point, you just became aware that MRO had
23	that the Alliances team would present that and say	23	signed a contract with M2 for application posting?
24	here's an option for you.	24	A. That's correct, to the best of my recollection.
	Page 30)	Page 32
1	Q. Let me then ask you, did the Alliances team at some	1	Q. Do you know whether anyone else at MRO was working
2	point present M2 to you as a hosting option for the	2	with M2 during that same time frame, other than you
3	mid-tier?	3	and your group?
4	A.I'm sure they did.	4	A. Only the Alliances people.
5	Q. Now, let's talk about the agreement that was signed,	5	Q. Who in Alliances would have been working with M2 at
6	and I'll represent for the record that it was signed	6	that time?
7	in March of 2000 between M2 and MRO.	7	A. At that time, it was either Jason Casper or Joe
8	Were you involved in the negotiation of that	8	Leone.
9	agreement in any way?	9	Q. Did either Mr. Casper or Mr. Leone tell you that they
1()	A. Not with the negotiation.	10	were negotiating an agreement with M2 in 2000
11	Q. Were you involved in any discussions with M2	11	regarding web hosting?
12	regarding the possibility of signing such an	12	A. It's very possible that they did.
13	agreement with MRO?	13	Q. You just don't have any specific memory?
14	A. Can you repeat the question?	14	A That's correct
15	Q. Did you have any discussions with Rick Bevington or	15	Q. So were you surprised when you learned that MRO and
16	anyone else at M2 about the possibility that they	16	M2 had executed an agreement for web hosting?
17	would sign an agreement with MRO which would include	17	A. I can't recall whether I was surprised.
18	M2 as a possible hosting partner?	18	Q Had anyone asked you whether that was a service that
19	A. It's possible that I had those conversations with	19	you needed in the mid-tier"
20	Rick prior to the agreement, as it was part of our	20	A. Again, there were many conversations that took place
21	nature of talking about services. He may have	21	It's very possible that that occurred.
22	mentioned it prior to the agreement	22	Q.1 understand it's possible -1 need to know whether
23	Q. What is your understanding of how it was that this	23	you remember having any such conversations.
24	agreement got negotiated between the two parties?	24	A.1 don't remember

Condenselt TM **NOVEMBER 30, 2005** RAYMOND MICIEK, JR. Page 45 Page 47 for those leads that it provided? Q. And in this time frame between March of 2000 and 1 2 A. Sure. 2 November of 2002, was M2 also in the mid-tier trying Q. And what was your conclusion about how that would be to sell its service directly to potential prospects? 3 3 A. That was my understanding. They had lots of 4 4 5 relationships in the industry, and if they came 5 A. There never was a conclusion. We never could come to across an opportunity that they uncovered themselves, grips on how they would pay. That was a very 6 6 challenging part from when we signed the first 7 they would pursue it. agreement to when we signed the second agreement. Q. Did they uncover any opportunities that ultimately 8 8 Q. Okay, and is it fair to say that you understood that resulted in software sales of MAXIMO which they 9 9 the sales force would be less interested in promoting 10 provided back to MRO? 1() A. Yes. 11 a service where there was no clear understanding of 11 Q. Do you know how many? 12 how they got paid as opposed to promoting a service 12 13 where there was a clear commission structure in 13 A. No. 14 place? 14 Q. Did Mr Bevington, in any of his discussions with you 15 15 A. Yes. about more aggressively promoting M2's MAXIMO web Q. Why then did your sales group proceed with directing 16 hosting capabilities, indicate to you that he 16 17 hosting leads to M2 before the sales-commission issue 17 believed that MRO had an obligation to aggressively 18 was figured out? 18 promote that service in the marketplace? 19 A. One of the reasons was that the type of leads that we A. In conversations, Rick would often say that we were 19 20 20 the sales channel, but that's not something that I were passing over to Rick were ones that we probably 21 would not pursue ourselves anyway, but it's important 21 necessarily agreed with. Q. Did you ever tell him in any of those conversations 22 to keep in mind there were not a huge amount of leads 22 23 23 that you didn't agree with his assertion that MRO was that were coming in. It was not like hundreds of 24 24 leads that we said what do we do with these. the sales channel? Page 48 Page 46 They would trickle in, in ones and twos, based A. To the best of my recollection, I did. 1 Q. Do you remember when, specifically, you told him on market demand. We were seeing some interest, but 2 2 3 it was not huge. 3 that? 4 Q. Was Rick Bevington talking to you about being more 4 A. No, I don't. aggressively selling M2's capabilities in the Q. Do you remember what his reaction was when you told 5 marketplace? him that? 6 A. No. I don't. A. Sure. Rick always talked about being more aggressive 7 7 8 and selling his services. 8 Q. Did he continue after you had this discussion, 9 Q. Do you have any specific recollection of any specific 9 whenever it was, to assert that MRO had an obligation 10 conversation with him on that point? 1() to actively promote M2 in the marketplace? A. Not specifically It was a continual thing. П 11 A. I would say that's correct. 12 Q. Generally, what was your response when he asked you 12 Q. When he continued to make those assertions, did you 13 ever say to him words to the effect of, "Look, I 13 to have your team promote his services more 14 aggressively? 14 don't agree with your claim that we're the sales 15 channel and you're the fulfillment channel"? 15 A. Polite, placating would be the right term. Rick represented a very small piece. The potential of A. At some point during that time between 2000 and 2002, 16 16 17 my recollection is I did have that conversation with 17 what he could produce was very small to me. I had 18 18 quotas to meet Q. Do you remember anything specific about that 19 I would agree, "Rick, we'll do whatever we can. 19 20 conversation" When the time and the market dictates to promote it, 20 21 A. No, I don't 21 we'll promote it " Q. Do you remember anything specific that you said to 22 Q. Do you remember what his reaction was when you told 22 23 it to him the second time? 23 Mr Beyington about we'll do whatever we can?

A. Not specifically

24

A. Rick was one that you could tell him something, and

Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO Document 91-2 Filed 03/15/2006 Page 21 of 54

NOVEMBER 30, 2005 Condenselt™ RAYMOND MICIEK, JR.

-110	7 V ENIDER 30, 2003 COI	idense	IL KATMOND MICIEK, JR.
	Page	73	Page 75
1	host for supplier catalogs and things like that.	1	Q. Implementation services?
2	So we were gaining expertise there. So the	2	A. No, a complete, quick solution for smaller customers.
3	technology would be better for hosting a better scale	3	Q. To get up and running in a hosting environment?
4	process than we had initially with the NStar	4	A. That's right.
5	agreement.	5	Q. In your dealings with Mr Bevington, did he ever talk
6	So in general, conversations were always around	6	to you about something known as Quick Start for
7	when Release 5 comes out, that's when we'll jump back	k 7	MAXIMO?
8	into this game.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Did you participate in some of those conversations?	9	Q. What is your understanding of what Quick Start was?
10	A. From a periphery.	10	A. That's the implementation services that we discussed
11	Q. At some point, did you ever inform Mr. Bevington of	11	earlier. That was really the foundation of our
12	the substance of those conversations?	12	original conversations with him was around the Quick
13	By that I mean, in particular, the idea that	13	Start package back in 1999, pre '99, that it's a
14	once MAXIMO 5 came out, MRO would revisit the hosting	g 14	prepackaged services to get someone up and running.
15	issue in some way.	15	My view of Quick Start was always more from a
16	A. Sure, we did.	16	customer buys the software, we package that with
17	Q. Do you have any specific memory as to what you said	17	Quick Start which contains all the information that
18	to Mr. Bevington in those conversations where you	18	helps the customer get up and running faster, and
19	talked about MAXIMO 5 is going to come out and that's	19	then it gets implemented by M2.
20	an Internet-based architect product?	20	Q. Was Quick Start in existence before M2 started
21	A. No, not specifically.	21	hosting MAXIMO?
22	Q. Do you have any general recollection as to what was	22	A. I believe it was, but I can't remember if they had
23	said in those conversations, either you to him or him	23	planned it at that time.
24	to you?	24	Q. Is it your understanding that Quick Start or the
	Page '	74	Page 76
1	A. Generally, the conversations were surrounded, "Rick,	1	components of Quick Start were customized in some way
2	you know we're going to be doing our own hosting,"	2	for MAXIMO to make it a MAXIMO-specific offering in
3	and Rick would say, "Why would you want to do that?	3	the market?
4	You have us." I would say, "The architecture allows	4	A. My understanding was that they could apply it to any
5	for it."	5	package.
6	They were just general conversations. We had	6	Q. Did MRO promote Quick Start for MAXIMO as a service
7	many, many conversations.	7	offering before it entered into its relationship with
8	Q. Can you tell me anything more that you remember	8	M2, to the best of your knowledge?
9	generally about the content of those conversations,	9	A.1 can't recall.
1()	or have you told me everything you can remember?	10	Q. And after it entered into its relationship with M2.
11	A. Everything I can remember	11	did MRO promote Quick Start for MAXIMO through its
12	Q. Do you remember what you said when Mr Bevington	12	sales force as an offering?
13	said, "Why would you do that? You have us"?	13	A. Through my sales force, it was an alternative. It
14	A. My conversations were generally supportive of Rick,	14	was not necessarily promoted Again, based on market
15	saying, "Look, you offer a different niche than what	15	needs, customer requirements, we would engage Rick if
16	we would. There's things that I think that you can	16	it made sense.
17	do that we could still leverage you for So it's not	17	Q. So if you identified a prospect where it might be
18	that you go away You're kind of like another	18	applicable, then it would be an offering that you
19	offering."	19	presented to the prospect?
20	Q. Did you ever discuss with him specifically what the	20	A. Right
21	different offering that M2 might provide in that	21	Q. Do you remember anything in these discussions about
22	event would be?	22	MRO web hosting MAXIMO after MAXIMO 5 came out where
23	A The offering was no different than what he had been	23	Rick indicated that that would be inconsistent in
24	previously offering.	24	some way of his understanding of the relationship
	CA COURT REPORTING CERVICES (417)		Dogo 72 - Dogo 76

Condenselt IM **NOVEMBER 30, 2005** RAYMOND MICIEK, JR. Page 85 Page 87 A. No. Q. Do you remember, approximately, the time when you 2 Q. Do you know whether anyone else at MRO ever 2 first began discussing that with Mr Bevington? investigated the way in which M2 delivered rapid A. Sometime in 2001 to throughout 2002, to until it was 3 3 4 implementation services for MAXIMO in connection with signed. 4 5 MRO's preparation to web host MAXIMO? 5 Q. What caused you to begin discussing with A. No. Mr Bevington the signing of the new agreement 6 6 Q. This conversation with Katie Doyle, do you remember 7 7 between M2 and MRO? when it occurred? A. My recollection is that we wanted to reconstruct the 8 8 9 A. No. I don't 9 old contract. 1() Q. Do you remember the year it occurred? O. Why? 10 11 A. I really don't. 11 A. My recollection was that it was going to expire. 12 Q. Did she say anything to you about the time frame 12 Q. Who at MRO if anyone, told you to discuss the 13 within which MRO's internally hosted MAXIMO offering execution of a new agreement with Mr. Bevington? 13 14 would be presented to Sales? 14 A. No one specifically told me to do the new agreement. 15 A. Not that I recall. 15 It was one of those evolutionary kinds of things Q. Did you have a general understanding at the time you 16 16 where I thought the contract was expiring. Rick 17 spoke to Katie Doyle about how soon it would be 17 appeared motivated to redo the contract. before that offering would be available? 18 18 It had come up in several conversations, both 19 A. That would be an assumption on my part. I don't 19 with myself and Rick, and from what I recall, others, 2() recall. 20 like Bob Parker, and discussions, and with Nancy 21 21 (Lunch recess.) Gilroy who was our VP of Contracts that it needed to 22 22 be redone, which is not uncommon for us on many of 23 23 our contracts with our partners. 24 Q. And what did Mr. Bevington say or do to lead you to Page 86 Page 88 1 believe that he was motivated to redo the contract?] AFTERNOÓN SESSION 2 2 A. I can't recall. 3 Q. I'd like to show you what we previously marked in 3 Q. What did Mr Parker tell you about the need to 4 this case as Exhibit Number 2 and ask you if you have 4 execute a new contract? 5 ever seen that document before. 5 A. I don't recall any specifics. Q. What about Ms. Gilroy? Did she say anything to you 6 6 Q. Does that document appear to you to be the second about the need to negotiate a new contract? 7 7 agreement signed between MRO and M2? A. I don't recall the specific nature of that. 8 8 9 9 Q. Do you recall the general nature of your discussions Q. In the signature block -- I think it's in the either with Mr Parker or Ms. Gilroy? 10 10 11 front -- it says "November 4, 2002 " 11 A. The general discussions around were that the original 12 12 contract was fairly loose and not in line with our A. Yes, it does. 13 Q. Is it your recollection that the second agreement 13 current contracts that we have with our partners, and 14 was, in fact, signed in November 2002? 14 typically, we will go back to our older contracts and 15 15 A. Yes. Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Bevington or Q. And was there some type of established template or 16 16 17 anyone else at M2 regarding the negotiation of the 17 model contract in 2002 that MRO was trying to get in 18 second agreement? 18 place with its partners? 19 A.1 don't have the expertise to testify to that 19 A. I did. 20 Q. So when you were told that the old M2 contract was Q. Do you remember whether you had those discussions 20 with Mr. Bevington or someone else? 21 not in line with the current MRO contract with its 21 partners, did you have any understanding of what that 22 22 A. At M29 23 meant? 23 Q At M2 24 A. Not particularly A. It was with Rick Beyington

NOVEMBER 30, 2005

CondenseltTM

RAYMOND MICIEK, JR.

	Page 97		Page 99
1	person making sure that this agreement supported your	1	Q. And did you have any discussions with Mr. Bevington
2	needs, you were primarily concerned with territory?	2	about we have a new contract and what would happen
3	A. Primarily	3	now that the new contract had been signed?
4	Q. Can you recall anything else in there that you might	4	A. I did not inform Rick. I don't think so. I don't
5	have been concerned with, other than territory?	5	think I was the first one to inform him that the
6	MR. GESMER: Can be look at the document to	6	contract had been signed. That probably came from
7	refresh his memory?	7	Nancy
8	MR. RESNICK: Sure. Take your time.	8	Again, I'm not sure of the time frame, and we
9	(Witness reviews document.)	9	had many conversations about the contract itself and
10	A. The fees were of interest to me simply because that	10	reiterations in regards to specific next steps, what
11	was how I would get revenue distributed to my team,	11	happens now, when can we do a web east for the
12	the products that he could introduce into the market	12	product.
13	and host, and referral fees.	13	Q. Let's talk about the discussions about when to do a
14	Lead registration was an issue because I wanted	14	web cast.
15	to make sure that there was no channel conflict that	15	Do you have any memory of any specific
16	he was trying to get credit for an opportunity that	16	conversations with Mr. Bevington after the 2002
17	we were already working.	17	agreement was signed about when to do the web cast?
18	Q. Do you recall Mr Bevington trying to talk to you	18	A. Not specific conversations, no.
19	specifically about any contract terms that Nancy	19	Q. Do you have a general recollection of discussing that
20	Gilroy or anyone else at MRO was asking him to	20	topic with Mr. Bevington?
21	include in the document?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Not terms to be included from our side, no.	22	Q. What do you generally recall about the substance of
23	Q. Did you have an understanding of why MRO was seeking	23	those discussions?
24	to increase the royalty percentage from twenty to	24	A. Generally, it was, "Well, okay let's do a web cast."
	Page 98		Page 100
1	Page 98 fifty percent in the agreement?	1	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the
1 2	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do.	1 2	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain.
	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were		That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions
2 3 4	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that?	2 3 4	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that,
2 3	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream	2 3	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce.
2 3 4 5 6	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to	2 3 4 5 6	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the
2 3 4 5 6 7	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for	2 3 4 5 6 7	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web east?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web east? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web cast? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web east? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web east? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed? A. You know, in those situations we did discuss time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in time? A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web cast? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed? A. You know, in those situations we did discuss time frames. It seemed like it was always next week or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in time? A. I do. Q. At some point, were you shown the final executed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web east? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed? A. You know, in those situations we did discuss time frames. It seemed like it was always next week or next month because of schedules and getting marketing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in time? A. I do. Q. At some point, were you shown the final executed contract?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web east? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed? A. You know, in those situations we did discuss time frames. It seemed like it was always next week or next month because of schedules and getting marketing support and assistance to get that pushed out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in time? A. I do. Q. At some point, were you shown the final executed contract? A. I don't recall that I was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web cast? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed? A. You know, in those situations we did discuss time frames. It seemed like it was always next week or next month because of schedules and getting marketing support and assistance to get that pushed out Q. All right. Where does Marketing come into this whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in time? A. I do. Q. At some point, were you shown the final executed contract? A. I don't recall that I was. Q. Did anybody at MRO inform you that a new contract	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web east? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed? A. You know, in those situations we did discuss time frames. It seemed like it was always next week or next month because of schedules and getting marketing support and assistance to get that pushed out Q. All right. Where does Marketing come into this whole issue of doing a web cast?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in time? A. I do. Q. At some point, were you shown the final executed contract? A. I don't recall that I was. Q. Did anybody at MRO inform you that a new contract with M2 had been signed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web cast? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed? A. You know, in those situations we did discuss time frames. It seemed like it was always next week or next month because of schedules and getting marketing support and assistance to get that pushed out Q. All right. Where does Marketing come into this whole issue of doing a web cast? What types of role would Marketing have in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in time? A. I do. Q. At some point, were you shown the final executed contract? A. I don't recall that I was. Q. Did anybody at MRO inform you that a new contract with M2 had been signed? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web cast? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed? A. You know, in those situations we did discuss time frames. It seemed like it was always next week or next month because of schedules and getting marketing support and assistance to get that pushed out Q. All right—Where does Marketing come into this whole issue of doing a web cast? What types of role would Marketing have in getting that done?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fifty percent in the agreement? A. I do. Q. What is your understanding as to why they were looking to do that? A. Two-fold. One, at twenty percent the revenue stream would be almost meaningless to us, and we needed to increase that so that it became more attractive for us to support the relationship. Two, we felt that it was necessary to recover the commission fees that would be paid out, and third, so that we could justify the rental relationship versus a direct purchase. Q. Do you recall looking at drafts of Exhibit 2 before the contract was actually signed, at any point in time? A. I do. Q. At some point, were you shown the final executed contract? A. I don't recall that I was. Q. Did anybody at MRO inform you that a new contract with M2 had been signed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That's basically it. I was not even sure of the content of what the web cast would contain. One piece might be we did discuss commissions how someone would get paid, but other than that, there was not much to introduce. The field had pretty much known about the offering. They knew about M2. So I was not sure about what the agenda would entail, other than commissions. Q. Did you tell Rick Bevington that MRO would do a web cast? A. I did say that we were working towards doing a web cast. Q. Was the time frame discussed? A. You know, in those situations we did discuss time frames. It seemed like it was always next week or next month because of schedules and getting marketing support and assistance to get that pushed out Q. All right. Where does Marketing come into this whole issue of doing a web cast? What types of role would Marketing have in

Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO Document 91-2 Filed 03/15/2006 Page 24 of 54

EXHIBIT

D

WILLIAM J. SAWYER - NOVEMBER 28, 2005

	1 2		INDEX
1	3	Deposition	on of: Direct
1 VOL. I Pp. 1 - 163	4		J. SAWYER
2 Exhibits 98 - 106	5 6	(by Mr. F	Resnick) 5
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	7 8		
4 DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 5	9		EXHIBITS
C.A. NO. 03-12589-GAO	11	No.	For Ident.
7 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	12	M	he six-page document Bates Numbered IRO 05327 through MRO 05332
9 M2 CONSULTING, INC.,	13	C P	ontaining an e-mail chain and owerPoint slides
9 Plaintiff	12 14		119
10 vs.	"		h - d No h d MDO 00072
11 MRO SOFTWARE, INC.,	15	C	he document Bates Numbered MRO 00872 ontaining an e-mail to Bob Parker
12 Defendant		fı	rom Rick Bevington dated 1/14/03
13	16 15		
15 Deposition of WILLIAM J. SAWYER, a witness	1		he six-page document Bates Numbered
16 called by counsel for the Plaintiff, pursuant to the	17	C	IRO 00754 through MRO 00759 ontaining an e-mail chain
17 applicable rules, before Kristin Ricci, a Certified	18		123
18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the	17	101 T	he document Bates Numbered MRO 03521
19 Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Law Offices of	19		ontaining an e-mail chain
20 Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C., 321 Boston Post Road,			136
21 Sudbury, Massachusetts, on Monday, November 28, 2005, 22 at 10:12 a.m.	19 20		he document Bates Numbered MRO 00995
22 at 10:12 a.m. 23	20		ontaining an e-mail chain 144
24	21		
	000		he document Bates Numbered MRO 00980
	22	D	ontaining a letter from Norman E. rapeau, Jr., to Rick Bevington dated
	23	9	/3/03
	22		
	24		
2			22.11.2.2.
APPEARANCES:	1 2		EXHIBITS
	3	No.	For Ident.
	4	104 T	he document Bates Numbered MRO 00753
MARK S. RESNICK, ESQUIRE	_		ontaining two e-mails dated 5/28/03
Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C.	5 4	W	ith the subject: Per our discussion 153
321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776	_		133
On behalf of the Plaintiff			
	6		he document Bates Numbered MRO 00772 ontaining an e-mail from Bill Sawyer
VIIDT BDATTEN ECOLITE	7		Ray Miciek and Nancy Gilroy dated
KURT BRATTEN, ESQUIRE Gesmer Updegrove, LLP			/7/03
40 Broad Street	6		155
Rocton Maccachusotte 03100	8		
Boston, Massachusetts 02109	1	106 T	he three-page document Bates
Boston, Massachusetts 02109 On behalf of the Defendant	1		umbered MRO 00665 through MRO 00667
	9		manining about a month with at a
	l	CC	ontaining three e-mails with the ubject: Grubb & Ellis
	9	CC	ontaining three e-mails with the ubject: Grubb & Ellis 158
	10	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	10	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	10	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	10 9 11	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	9 11 12 13 14	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	9 11 12 13 14 15	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	9 11 12 13 14	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	9 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	9 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	9 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis
	9 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CC	ıbject: Grubb & Ellis

WILLIAM J. SAWYER - NOVEMBER 28, 2005

WIL	LIAN	J. SAWYER - NOVEMBER 28, 2005			
		33			35
1	Α	I'm not sure that I understand.	1	Q	Okay. And if MRO could not confirm that
2	Q	Sure. Was there anything special or	2		there would be a market for hosted MAXIMO,
3		anything in particular that MRO had to do	3		why then did it attempt to offer in 2000 a
4		before it could host MAXIMO through a	4		client server based hosted solution for
5		Citrix server client server for a	5		MAXIMO?
6		customer in 2000?	6	Α	I think there's a there's a belief that
7	Α	I don't believe there was anything special,	7		existed then and there's a belief that
8		other than the addition of Citrix to the	8		existed today that software as a service or
9		equation.	9		software on demand they're called
10	Q	And what was required to add Citrix to the	10		different things ultimately could change
11		equation?	11		the way that software is delivered by
12	Α	It was just another purchase. It was a	12		companies.
13		third-party product that had to be bought	13	Q	And how was that different in 2000?
14		and installed. And I believe it had to be	14	Α	In 2000, I think the same thing. I think
15		installed on both our end and at each	15		if you went back to 2000, you would find
16		individual customer's end.	16		that we as a management team believed that
17	Q	And was installing Citrix so that it worked	17		somewhere in the future, software as a
18		with MAXIMO did that require any	18		service is going to be an important
19		alterations to the version of MAXIMO that	19		happening, and we should be a part of it.
20		was	20		And I would say that we feel the same way
21	Α	No. I'm pretty sure no.	21		today.
22	Q	As part of Mr. Cisternelli's assignment,	22	Q	And just so I'm clear, even though you
23		was he asked to look at the market for a	23		weren't sure if there was a market for
24		MAXIMO hosted solution?	24		hosted MAXIMO, you decided to get into it
		34			36
1	Α	I think he was probably asked to look at	1		anyway in 2000?
2		the market for hosted solutions in general.	2	Α	Right.
3	Q	And do you recall what he reported back	3	Q	So you would be there if it became suddenly
4		about the market in 2000 for hosted	4		in demand?
5		solutions?	5	Α	That's correct.
6	Α	I think generally there's been a and,	6	Q	Okay. Who was responsible for getting
7		again, I don't know if I could say this	7		NSTAR to host with MAXIMO in 2000?
8		specifically came from Paul or a sort of	8	Α	You know, I don't know whether Paul did
9		collective wisdom that applied to us. I	9		that directly or he worked with someone
10		think there's been a general belief amongst	10		from sales. I don't recall, frankly, if
11		me and the rest of the management team that	11		NSTAR might have been a customer, and they
12		ultimately there would be a market for	12		were just looking for another I don't
13		hosted offerings.	13		know the complete circumstances.
14		And I don't think that we ever	14	Q	Was it NSTAR nationwide, or was it a
15		could confirm that that meant there	15		particular region?
16		could that there would be a market for	16	Α	I think it was NSTAR local to Boston.
17		specifically our product or our application	17	Q	And
18		in that environment, specifically	18	Α	And my guess, it would have been some small
19		maintenance management or the things that	19		department within NSTAR in Boston that was
20		we do.	20		looking for an application like ours.
21		So I guess what I'm saying is	21	Q	Do you know when NSTAR first began using
22		that we believe that some products would	22		the hosted application?
23		definitely be more successful in a hosted	23	Α	It was in the middle it was roughly June
24		environment than ours.	24		of 2000.
			<u> </u>		

Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO Document 91-2 Filed 03/15/2006 Page 27 of 54

EXHIBIT

 \mathbf{E}

Deposition of Kathleen M. Doyle

December 1, 2005

	1	Volume: 1 Pages: 1-140 Exhibits: 123-126	1 2	INDEX
	3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	3	WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS
	4	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 03-12589-GAO	4	KATHLEEN M. DOYLE
	5		5	
	6		6	By Mr. Resnick 4
	7	M2 CONSULTING, INC.,	7	
	8	Plaintiff,	8	
	9	vs.	9	EXHIBITS
	10	MRO SOFTWARE, INC.,	10	
	11	Defendant.	11	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
١	12 13	DEPOSITION of KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a	12	123 E-mail dated 3/1/02 99 124 E-mail dated 10/28/04 99
	14	witness called by counsel for the Plaintiff,	14	125 E-mail dated 9/22/03 99
	15	taken pursuant to Rule 30 of the Massachusetts	15	126 E-mail dated 3/26/04 99
١	16	Rules of Civil Procedure before Alene M.	16	120 E maii dated 3/23/04 33
	17	Jennette, Certified Shorthand Reporter and	17	
	18	Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of	18	
	19	Massachusetts, at the offices of Gesmer	19	
	20	Updegrove, LLP, 40 Broad Street, Boston,	20	Original Exhibits retained by Attorney Resnick.
	21	Massachusetts on Thursday, December 1, 2005,	21	Copies attached hereto.
	22	commencing at 10:00 a.m.	22	
	23 24		23 24	
- 1	~ '		-	
- 1				
		2		A
	1	APPEARANCES:	1	PROCEEDINGS
	2	APPEARANCES:	1 2	PROCEEDINGS
	2	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C.	1 2 3	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS
	2 3 4	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq.	1 2 3 4	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by
1 N N 1 1 1	2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road	3	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS
1.00	2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq.	3 4	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties
187	2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776	3 4 5	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the
1.00	2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776	3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff	3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all
- 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the
l	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE,
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a witness called for examination by counsel for
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a witness called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiff, having been satisfactorily
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a witness called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiff, having been satisfactorily identified by the production of her driver's
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a witness called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiff, having been satisfactorily
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a witness called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiff, having been satisfactorily identified by the production of her driver's license and duly sworn by the Notary Public,
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a witness called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiff, having been satisfactorily identified by the production of her driver's license and duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as follows:
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a witness called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiff, having been satisfactorily identified by the production of her driver's license and duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RESNICK: Q. Good morning.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a witness called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiff, having been satisfactorily identified by the production of her driver's license and duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RESNICK: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES: FEE, ROSSE & LANZ, P.C. By Mark S. Resnick, Esq. 321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 On Behalf of the Plaintiff GESMER UPDEGROVE, LLP By Kurt Bratten, Esq. 40 Broad Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROCEEDINGS STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties that the witness will read and sign the deposition transcript. The notarization of the signature and filing of the deposition may be waived. It is further stipulated that all objections, except as to the form of the question, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial. KATHLEEN M. DOYLE, a witness called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiff, having been satisfactorily identified by the production of her driver's license and duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RESNICK: Q. Good morning.

	Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO Document 91-	2	Filed 03/15/2006 Page 29 of 54	
De	position of Kathleen M. Doyle		December 1, 20	005
	45			47
1	the competition who were already successful in	1	Q. Are they a research firm?	
2	that space.	2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Competition that were hosting?	3	Q. And was it your understanding that	
4	A. Mm-hmm, yes.	4	that had been prepared at MRO's request?	
5	Q. Who did you research?	5	A. No.	
6	A. Datastream, INDUS. I looked at M2's	6	Q. When you looked at the report, what	
7	model as much as I knew about it. And then I	7	was your understanding about why that report	
8	spoke with industry analysts who gave a general	8	had been created?	
9	market overview.	9	A. It was a general market study on EAM	
10	Q. Did you consider M2 to be competition	10	revenues and the form that those would take	
11	for MRO at that point in time?	11	into the future.	
12	A. No.	12	Q. Was this report prepared by Gartner	
13	Q. Datastream was a competitor?	13	for sale, or was it a publicly available	
14	A. Yes.	14	report, you know, on their web site; you could	
15	Q. And INDUS was a competitor?	15	go pull it if you wanted?	
16	A. Yes.	16	A. It was for sale, but we were we	
17	Q. Why was it that you didn't consider	17	had signed on with Gartner, so we were	
18	M2 to be a competitor?	18	authorized to read the report.	
19	 A. There was already a partnership in 	19	Q. Did you sign on with Gartner in	
20	place.	20	connection with the hosting initiative or in	
21	Q. And what did you when you spoke to	21	some other more general way?	
22	industry analysts, what was your inquiry there?	22	A. Just generally.	
23	A. What the size, the potential size of	23	Q. Did you use this report, reference	
24	the market might look like one year down the	24	this report in connection with preparing your	
	46			48
1	road, five years down the road, and what they	1	business plan?	
2	felt the market would bear in the form of price	2	A. Yes, I did.	
3	points per seat.	3	Q. What did the report conclude about	
4	Q. How did you did MRO retain any	4	EAM revenues and the form they might take in	
5	analysts to study the industry and provide this	5	the future?	
6	information?	6	A. Generally, that hosting was the wave	
7	A. I don't know.	7	of the future.	
8	Q. Did you ever see any market analysis	8	Q. And that there was significant	l
9	of potential hosting for facility management	9	potential growth in that space?	-
10	software that MRO had commissioned?	10	A. Yes.	- 1
11	A. I'm not sure I understand the	11	Q. Okay. Was this Gartner report the	
12	question.	12	sole piece of information you looked at in	
13	Q. Did you ever see a report which was	13	determining what the size of the market might	
14	analysis of the potential market for hosting?	14	look like down the road?	
15	A. Yes.	15	A. No.	
16	Q. You saw it. Was that report prepared	16	Q. What else did you look at to make	
17	by someone from MRO?	17	that analysis?	
18	A. No.	18	 A. I believe there was an ARC report, an 	
19	Q. Was it prepared by somebody outside?	19	IDC.	
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. ARC and IDC, what do those stand for?	
21	Q. Do you know who prepared it?	21	A. Um	
22	A. Gartner.	22	Q. Are they firms? Are they	
23	Q. Gartner?	23	A. Yes, they are firms. I don't know	
24	A. Mm-hmm.	24	what the acronyms mean.	

24

A. Yes.

Q. How many people did they bring with

De	position of Kathleen M. Doyle			December 1, 2005
	4	19		51
1	Q. Are they market analysis firms?		1	them?
2	A. Yes. I believe IDC is International		2	A. I believe one.
3	Data Corporation. I'm not certain about that.		3	Q. And how many MRO people?
4	Q. Okay. Were those reports, same	1	4	A. I believe there were three of us.
5	thing, created by these firms and available for		5	Q. Do you remember who besides yourself?
6	purchase?	ı	6	A. I believe there was myself, Rich
7	A. Yes.		7	Caplow, and Ed O'Brien.
8	Q. Did MRO purchase those reports, or	-	8	Q. Who is Ed O'Brien?
9	did you have had you already signed on with		9	A. He's no longer with MRO. He was the
10	those guys, too?		10	market research analyst within our product
	5 • ·	١	11	•
111	A. I believe MRO purchased those		12	marketing group.
12	reports. I'm not certain.			Q. Do you remember anything about this
13	Q. Okay. And do you have any specific		13	meeting substantively, what was said, what IDC
14	recollection of what these reports said?		14	told you?
15	A. Similar. Um, I believe ARC went into		15	A. What I came away with specifically
16	defining the types of hosting scenarios that		16	was their recommendations that a price point of
17	would be most prevalent, if I remember right.		17	\$1,000 per seat would be acceptable in the
18	Q. Any specific memory of what the IDC		18	market for hosting.
19	report said?		19	Q. Okay. Did you have any further
20	A. That one I consulted mostly for		20	interaction with IDC in connection with
21	actually, the IDC one may not have been a		21	preparing this business plan?
22	written report as much as it was a meeting we		22	A. No.
23	had with them where I inquired about price	ĺ	23	Q. In addition to Gartner, ARC, and IDC,
24	points.		24	did you do anything else to determine the size
	5	0		52
1	Q. Do you recall when this meeting with		1	of the market?
2	IDC occurred?		2	A. No.
3	A. I don't.	ı	3	Q. What were your ultimate conclusions
4	Q. How about the year?		4	regarding the size of the market in a year down
5	A. Approximately 2001.		5	the road?
6	Q. Did it happen at their offices,	-	6	A. I based my conclusions primarily on
7	Bedford, someplace else?		7	Gartner's estimate because they were a very
8	A. In Bedford.		8	credible analyst. And I believe my conclusion
9	Q. Was the purpose of the meeting		9	was that there was a sizable market, and it was
10	exclusively to talk about analysis of the		10	a logical step for us for a number of reasons
11	potential hosting market, or was it a more		11	and that it made sense to move into that space.
12	general meeting where hosting was just an		12	Q. How sizeable? Do you remember?
13	agenda item?		13	A. No.
14	A. Both. It was a general opportunity		14	Q. Do you remember your the size
15	for us to meet with them while they were with		15	estimate five years down the road?
16	us, and then also specifically hosting was one		16	A. No.
17	of the topics.		17	Q. And when you said it was a logical
18	Q. Were you asked to make a presentation		18	step for MRO, could you explain the reasons why
19	at this meeting?		19	you concluded it was a logical step for MRO?
20	A. No.		20	A. Our product architecture was at the
21	Q. And how many people did they bring		21	right point. And the industry analysts said
22	or scratch that. Did you attend this meeting?		22	that was where the world was going.
22	A Vac		22	O Did the hyginess plan make specific

23

24

Q. Did the business plan make specific

predictions about the level of revenue that MRO

could expect to generate from its hosting initiative in the event that a decision was made to implement it?

A. No.

- Q. There were no spreadsheet projections of what the income looked like?
 - A. I don't recall that, no.
- Q. After you made your inquiry regarding the size of the market, were there any other components to the business plan that you were working on?
 - A. I don't remember. I don't think so.
- Q. Was your plan -- in the context of your plan, were you asked to make some type of recommendation as to whether it should proceed -- MRO should proceed with this initiative, or was yours just a planning document to be given to decision-makers higher up in the chain?

A. It was a planning document. I believe the decision had already been made.

Q. Did Rich Caplow tell you the decision had already been made before he asked you to start working on this plan?

A. I don't recall.

Q. What was the source of your impression that the decision had already been made at the time that you were working on the business plan?

A. I wasn't under the impression that my job was to convince anybody that we should be doing this; that it was, Put it in place and execute it.

Q. But did anybody actually tell you that that was -- you were going to put it in place and execute it?

A. I got that impression from Rich.

Q. Do you remember the month when --well, you did complete the business plan?

A. Yes.

Q. Who did you submit it to?

A. Rich.

19 Q. Do you remember when you submitted it 20 to Rich?

A. No, no.

Q. Do you remember the year you submitted it to Rich?

A. No.

Q. Okay. What was the next thing that happened in connection with this initiative to develop in-house hosting?

A. I worked with our -- the site where our internal infrastructure resided in Toronto. I worked with them to understand limitations and the technical side of what that infrastructure looked like.

Q. And what did you learn about the limitations -- scratch that. Why did -- and when we're talking about the site you just referred to, we're talking about the OCS site in London, Ontario?

A. Yes.

Q. Why was it that you began working with them as part of this hosting initiative?

A. Our initial intention was to use some capacity that already existed in the OCS infrastructure that we felt we could utilize and profit from as sort of an easing into the market space.

Q. And did Rich give you the impression that the hosting initiative was going to be targeted at using up the excess capacity in the

OCS site through the hosting initiative, or did he convey to you that the hosting initiative was going to be an initiative in its own right that wouldn't be limited by capacity issues up in London, Ontario?

A. Both.

Q. Okay. And what did you learn in terms of limitations in the OCS facility?

A. I believe we sized it so that we had room for about -- depending on the size of the customer -- anywhere between five and ten customer licenses.

Q. Any other limitations of a technical nature?

A. None that were known. I mean, data -- the size of the database would have been an issue, but we were getting and we felt like we had a good understanding of what that would look like.

Q. So what comprised the capacity? Just the personnel and support time that might be available and physical hardware requirements? Or was there something else other than those two components?

Deposition of Kathleen M. Doyle

December 1, 2005

	position of Kathleen W. Doyle		December 1, 2005
	57		59
1	 A. Those two components summed it up. 	1	initiative?
2	Q. After you obtained an understanding	2	 A. Part of presentation focused on
3	from the OCS people about what the limitations	3	pricing. That was one element of that
4	would be, what was the next thing you did in	4	presentation. And then communicating that to
5	terms of getting this hosting initiative up and	5	the sales organization.
6	running?	6	Q. So what do you remember doing on the
7	A. Creating a PowerPoint presentation to	7	issue of pricing for hosting?
8	capture all that information.	8	A. We picked a number. Somewhere
	•	9	·
9	Q. What was the purpose of capturing	1	between the low, low estimate that we had been
10	that information in a PowerPoint?	10	given or that we had heard and the high one.
111	A. To share it with Rich and the team in	11	And we thought that would be palatable.
12	terms of how this was taking shape.	12	Q. You're talking about pricing for the
13	Q. Did you use this did you share	13	end user of the hosting service?
14	this PowerPoint presentation with Mr. Caplow?	14	A. Yes.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. Was this all added to the price book
16	Q. What was done with it after you	16	at some point?
17	showed it to Mr. Caplow?	17	A. Yes.
18	A. I don't know.	18	Q. Did your pricing structure differ
19	Q. Did you ever use it in connection	19	depending on whether the hosting was occurring
20	with any presentation that you made to anyone	20	in North America versus Europe, for example,
21	else at MRO about this initiative?	21	other than currency conversion issues?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. No.
23	Q. How else did you use that	23	Q. Were you actually responsible for
24	presentation?	24	adding this hosting pricing information to the
	58		60
1	A. At some point, I don't recall when,	1	price book?
2	we did an internal web cast to share that	2	A. Yes.
3	information with sales management.	3	Q. Other than figuring this out, picking
4	Q. Other than that web casts, anything	4	the number, and adding it to the price book,
5	else?	5	did you do anything else in terms of how to
6	A. I did a presentation at one of our	6	price the hosting service that you remember?
7	sales meetings.	7	A. No.
8	Q. Was this a regional sales meeting or	8	Q. Let's turn to communicating the
9	was that more, you know, a yearly meeting of	9	information to the sales organization. Can you
10	all the sales personnel or	10	tell me the first thing you did in connection
11	A. It was our annual global sales	111	with that?
12		1	
1 ' —		112	A It was either the sales meeting
1	meeting.	12	A. It was either the sales meeting presentations or a Webex I believe I did
13	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year?	13	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did
13 14	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No.	13 14	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first.
13 14 15	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No. Q. Was anything else done with this	13 14 15	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first. Q. Do you remember when you presented
13 14 15 16	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No. Q. Was anything else done with this PowerPoint presentation that you can remember?	13 14 15 16	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first. Q. Do you remember when you presented this to the sales meeting?
13 14 15 16 17	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No. Q. Was anything else done with this PowerPoint presentation that you can remember? A. I passed it on to my management, so	13 14 15 16 17	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first. Q. Do you remember when you presented this to the sales meeting? A. No.
13 14 15 16 17 18	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No. Q. Was anything else done with this PowerPoint presentation that you can remember? A. I passed it on to my management, so it may have been forwarded and used elsewhere.	13 14 15 16 17 18	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first. Q. Do you remember when you presented this to the sales meeting? A. No. Q. Do you remember when the Webex
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No. Q. Was anything else done with this PowerPoint presentation that you can remember? A. I passed it on to my management, so it may have been forwarded and used elsewhere. Q. But you're not specifically aware of	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first. Q. Do you remember when you presented this to the sales meeting? A. No. Q. Do you remember when the Webex occurred?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No. Q. Was anything else done with this PowerPoint presentation that you can remember? A. I passed it on to my management, so it may have been forwarded and used elsewhere. Q. But you're not specifically aware of that?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first. Q. Do you remember when you presented this to the sales meeting? A. No. Q. Do you remember when the Webex occurred? A. No.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No. Q. Was anything else done with this PowerPoint presentation that you can remember? A. I passed it on to my management, so it may have been forwarded and used elsewhere. Q. But you're not specifically aware of that? A. No.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first. Q. Do you remember when you presented this to the sales meeting? A. No. Q. Do you remember when the Webex occurred? A. No. Q. Do you remember the year?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No. Q. Was anything else done with this PowerPoint presentation that you can remember? A. I passed it on to my management, so it may have been forwarded and used elsewhere. Q. But you're not specifically aware of that? A. No. Q. After you developed the PowerPoint	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first. Q. Do you remember when you presented this to the sales meeting? A. No. Q. Do you remember when the Webex occurred? A. No. Q. Do you remember the year? A. Approximately 2002.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	meeting. Q. Do you remember for what year? A. No. Q. Was anything else done with this PowerPoint presentation that you can remember? A. I passed it on to my management, so it may have been forwarded and used elsewhere. Q. But you're not specifically aware of that? A. No.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	presentations or a Webex. I believe I did both, but I don't know which came first. Q. Do you remember when you presented this to the sales meeting? A. No. Q. Do you remember when the Webex occurred? A. No. Q. Do you remember the year?

108

what Pat was there to discuss in the first place.

Q. Okay. But if they presented that information in that manner, why in your view in the e-mail would this presentation have been understandable?

A. Because at this point, I believe we had already discussed having our own hosting business. And I was probably -- it was likely at that point that M2 was aware of that.

Q. And did you have an understanding that M2 would have identified MRO's entry into the hosting business as an adverse development in their relationship?

A. I think it would have been questionable. They would have had questions about it. I don't know that adversarial is the right word.

Q. Did you also hear in connection with Pat McHale's visit that he was asking M2 about some of this subject information?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. So then why did you say, From what I heard, they were evasive with much of that

wasn't defined yet.

Q. What was the source of your understanding that people recognized that the relationship would change?

A. Well, I had been asked to develop a hosting plan into the mid-market. So I had already gone down the path of setting that up and inquiring and trying to piece together an MRO software hosting offering.

Q. In addition to the fact that you had been assigned that task, was there anything else that anyone said to you or any document that you saw that lead you to the belief that the relationship with M2 would be changing?

A. No.

Q. Now, going to the third paragraph of this e-mail where you say, In our conversation yesterday, you mentioned that we might want to discourage customers from buying options through our pricing. I'm not sure this is a good idea. We could end up booking some incremental PS revenue if we find customers willing to go the extra yard.

We can price it so it's profitable.

106

105

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

information, if you didn't think Pat McHale was inquiring about that information during his visit?

A. My assumption is, because Pat is the VP of support, that he was there for support related reasons. And support is all part of the marketing relationship. So I would assume that his questions were kind of about how things were done in supporting the product.

And there may have been some issues he was addressing. I don't know. If he was asking questions about their model or their support model, then I would expect that they might have been evasive.

Q. And was that because there was a general understanding that some of M2's business process information was proprietary to M2?

A. No. I would say that it was because our relationship was going to change, obviously, at that point. I would say that people were under the understanding that something was going to happen, that our relationship was going to change and that that I'm sure M2 does this, or this wouldn't be their core business. But I think we need to be competitive with M2 or this doesn't make sense. You say, I've copied Ray, who also has a lot of experience working with M2 and has a good feel for how they do the business.

Does this refresh your recollection as to whether you were interested in how M2 made a profit in connection with its web-hosting of MAXIMO?

A. No. This was related, this third paragraph, to options, meaning our additional add-on capabilities, which John was -- at first was not interested in getting caught up in the complexities of offering a customer additional capabilities to add on to core MAXIMO.

So my point was we need to have -- we need to be able to show a differentiation between us and any competitor by being able to offer more capabilities, and this would be one way of doing it, would be to be able to sell additional capabilities on top of MAXIMO that M2 wasn't currently selling.

Q. Then why do you say in the following

De	position of Kathleen M. Doyle		December 1, 2005
	109		111
1	sentence, I think we need to be competitive	1	say to you that figuring out where ACSPs fit
2	with M2 or this doesn't make sense, if M2	2	into the hosting model was an error or an
3	wasn't selling capabilities in the first place?	3	omission in the model that MRO had with M2?
4	A. Because if we didn't add these	4	A. He didn't say that, no.
5	capabilities on, then we would be offering the	5	Q. Okay. Let me show you what we've
6	same thing as M2. And I think that at that	6	marked as Exhibit 124 and ask you if you've
7	point, I was trying to find ways for us to,	7	seen this document before.
8	obviously, have a different offering than M2.	8	(Pause.)
9	Q. And your concern with being	9	A. Yes, I believe I was copied on this.
10	competitive with M2, that was based on your	10	Q. Okay. In the subject line for this
11	view that once you went into the hosting, MRO	11	calendar entry that says, MAXIMO hosting
12	went into the hosting business, M2 would be a	12	progress update, are these the monthly meetings
13	competitor?	13	you were talking about earlier?
14	A. Possibly. But I guess I think the	14	A. No. I had my own, sort of, local
15	other option on the table was that M2 wouldn't	15	monthly meetings with my team. This one was to
16	be doing a hosting anymore if we could pick up	16	a much broader audience, including executives,
17	that area of the business, and this would be a	17	it looks like. And it was chaired by Terry, so
18	partnership, a different partnership, in place	18	this was Terry's meeting.
19	with M2.	19	Q. Who was Holly Jaaouani?
20	Q. Okay. But at least as to hosting,	20	A. She works for legal.
21	there was at least some concern that your	21	Q. And did you attend this meeting?
22	pricing had to be competitive with M2?	22	A. I don't know.
23	A. Yes, yes.	23	Q. Who is James Dunmore-Smith?
24	Q. And did Ray respond to this e-mail by	24	A. A consultant.
	110		112
1	providing any additional information as to how	1	Q. What was he retained to do?
2	M2 did their business?	2	A. He is an MRO software consultant who

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. Do you know whether John Smit contacted Pat McHale to find out what he'd been able to learn about M2's pricing offer or how they did their business?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Did he ever report to you that he had made any additional inquiry on this?
 - A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. Did the document template for detailed safety of work that you ultimately developed contain any information that you obtained about or from M2?
 - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Let me show you what we've marked as Document 123.

(Pause.)

- Q. Do you know whether you saw any of the e-mails in this chain at any point?
 - A. This does not look familiar, no.
- Q. Okay. In discussions that you had with Ray Miciek at any point, did Mr. Miciek

- gets sent to customer sites for various projects.
- Q. If we look down here, it appears under the calendar item that there is a list of things. And do you see that list of things under your name?
 - A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Do you have any understanding as to what was meant by the bullet point, Send out a packaging and pricing document?
 - A. No.
- Q. Going to the second page of this exhibit, where it says, Work with Mike Dziekan and define base set-up included in subscription fee and add-on services available on time and materials basis. Do you have any understanding as to what that bullet point was talking about?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who is Mike Dziekan?

A. He is the director of professional services, now vice president of one of the arms of professional services. And he at one time

De	Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO Document 91 position of Kathleen M. Doyle	-2	Filed 03/15/2006 Page 35 of 54 December 1, 2005
125			
1	your memory, prior to November 4, 2002?	1	MRO's internal hosting application was
2	A. Probably the initial stages, yes.	2	presented to the sales force, did Mr. Miciek
3	Q. Did you have any further discussions	3	tell you that his problems with M2 were worse
4	with anyone at MRO regarding the contract	4	or had become worse in some way?
5	between MRO and M2?	5	A. No.
6	A. No.	6	Q. I'd like to show you what we've
7	Q. In developing your business plan, did	7	previously marked as Exhibit 59 and ask you if
8	you address at all MRO's contractual commitment	8	you've ever seen that before.
9	to M2 as a web-hosting partner as part of the	9	A. No, I haven't.
10	overall business plan that you were preparing?	10	Q. Did you have any interaction when you
11	A. No.	111	were focused on MAXIMO mid-tier with Jason
12	Q. In connection with the developing of	12	Kasper?
13	MRO's internal hosting initiative, was any	13	A. Yes.
14		14	
15	thought given to whether MRO would continue to	15	Q. Did any of that interaction concern
16	use external hosting partners in conjunction	16	M2 at all?
17	with its own internal program or whether	17	A. Not that I recall.
18	alternatively relationships with those partners	18	Q. What about any interaction with Joe Leoni?
	were supposed to be phased out or terminated?	19	A. Yes.
19	MR. BRATTEN: Objection.	20	
20	A. I don't remember specific discussions	21	Q. Did any of that interaction concern M2?
21 22	about that.	22	
23	Q. Do you remember any general discussions that were that concerned that	23	A. No, not that I recall.
24	subject?	24	Q. I'd like to show you what we've marked as Exhibit 64 and ask you if those are
24		24	
	126	١.	128
1	A. No. But I assumed that if we were	1	your notes.
2	going to be creating a program, at some point	2	A. No, those are not mine.
3	it would alter those kinds of partnerships. So	3	Q. I'd like to show you what we've
4	I just assumed that something would be taken	4	marked as Exhibit 65 previously in this case.
5	care of and handled at that level.	5	It's another e-mail chain. And I'd like to
6	Q. I'd like to show you what we've	6	direct your attention to the e-mail that's cut
7	marked previously as Exhibit No. 8 and ask you	7	off on the bottom of the first page, which is
8	if you've ever seen that before.	8	Chip Drapeau. It says, Let me echo Bill's
9	A. No, I haven't.	9	comments so there is no misunderstanding.
10	Q. In preparation and once again,	10	A. Mm-hmm.
11	this is just a yes or no question in	11	Q. If you would read the, sort of,
12	preparation for the MRO hosting initiative, did	12	e-mails on that second page, I have a quick
13	you receive any input whatsoever from MRO	13	question.
14	legal?	14	(Pause.)
15	A. Can you repeat the question?	15	Q. Do you remember any controversy
16	Q. In preparation of the MRO hosting	16	within MRO about how and when the MRO internal
17	initiative, did you receive any input	17	hosting capabilities should be used versus when
18	whatsoever from MRO legal? And I'm only	18	a lead should go to M2?
19	looking for a yes or no answer.	19	A. No.
20	A. No.	20	Q. Did Mr. Miciek ever tell you that he
21	Q. Did you learn subsequently that MRO	21	was being pressured by MRO senior executives to
22	had terminated its relationship with M2?	22	steer hosting leads to MRO's internal hosting
23	A. No.	23	program rather than to M2?
24	Q. After the Webex where M2 where	24	A. No.

Deposition of Kathleen M. Doyle December 1, 2005 129 131 1 Q. Did you ever do any work with Patty 1 that e-mail is talking about when he's talking 2 2 about stealth mode? Foye? 3 3 A. Very little, but yes. A. No. 4 Q. Did you ever do any work with her in 4 Q. I'd like to show you what we've 5 connection with the preparation of the hosting 5 marked previously as Exhibit 112. I direct 6 initiative? 6 your attention to the e-mail at the top of the 7 7 A. No. page, which is Bill Sawyer to Ted Williams. I 8 Q. Did you ever review any documents as 8 just ask you if you've ever seen that before. 9 part of your development of the hosting 9 A. Yes, I have. 10 10 initiative from Tom Shulte to anyone at MRO Q. The reference in there to you and a 11 regarding how to price hosting in the 11 complete product launch, can you tell me what 12 marketplace? 12 your understanding is of what a complete 13 13 A. No. product launch for MAXIMO hosting services 14 14 Q. Did you, in your work as providing would consist of? 15 sales support for MAXIMO, form any opinion as 15 A. In this case, it would have been an 16 to whether the hosting service provided by M2, 16 internal product launch. And the word "launch" 17 when they were an MRO hosting partner, was a 17 is a little bit dramatic for this, but it would 18 quality offering in the marketplace? 18 have been probably a presentation in the form, 19 19 A. No opinion. given in the form of a webinar to the sales 20 20 Q. So you didn't form an opinion as to organization that covered everything they 21 21 whether M2 was doing a particularly good job or needed to know about this offering, pricing, 22 particularly bad job? 22 positioning, any kind of data sheets or 23 23 A. No. collateral. And it would probably be provided 24 24 to them later in a zipped-up file with some Q. Did Mr. Miciek ever give you any 130 132 1 documents that they could have, like a price 1 input into whether M2 was good at hosting 2 2 book and things like that, which could be part MAXIMO or not? 3 3 of the deliverable for this kind of an internal A. No. 4 4 launch. Q. I'd like to show you what we've 5 5 marked as Exhibit 96 and ask you if you've ever Q. Did you develop all of that and put 6 6 it in the appropriate channels? seen that document. 7 7 A. Yes. A. No. 8 8 Q. Just so we're clear, you didn't MR. RESNICK: Off the record. 9 9 (Discussion off the record.) consult this in preparing your business plan or 10 Q. Were you involved in any way in the 10 any portion of the MRO hosting initiative? negotiation of either the agreement between M2 11 A. No. 11 12 12 and MRO that was signed in 2000 or the Q. I'd like to show you what we've 13 13 marked as Exhibit 101 and just direct your agreement between M2 and MRO that was signed in 14 attention to the e-mail that's cut off at the 14 2002? 15 15 A. No. very top of the page. It's from Rich. Can't 16 16 Q. Did anyone at MRO ever tell you that really tell from this exhibit exactly who it's 17 Mr. Bevington was told that if he signed the 17 to. 18 2002 agreement, which was the agreement that 18 I just ask you if the reference to 19 Mr. Miciek faxed to you, M2's web-hosting 19 being in stealth mode refreshes your 20 recollection as to what specifically stealth capabilities would be rolled out to the North 20 21 American sales force? 21 mode was supposed to be relative to the release 22 A. No. 22 of the MRO internal hosting capabilities? 23 Q. Do you have any understanding as to 23 A. I don't know.

24

Q. You don't know what the author of

24

what the term "roll-out to North American

Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO Document 91-2 Filed 03/15/2006 Page 37 of 54

EXHIBIT

F'

M2 CONSULTING, INC. VS. MRO SOFTWARE, INC. November 22, 2005 INDEX 2 WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS 3 Jason Scott Kasper VOL. I PAGES 1-87 EXHIBITS 83-97 4 BY Mr. Resnick 5 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS EXHIBITS 6 NO. PAGE DESCRIPTION Civil Action No 03-12589-GAO 7 M2 CONSULTING, INC Plaintiff 30 E-mail, 5/16/01, Bates No. MRO 00025 8 to 00027 9 84 54 E-mail 3/1/02, Bates No. MRO No. 00523 MRO SOFTWARE, INC Defendant 85 54 E-mail 11/1/01, Bates No. MRO 00072 10 11 86 54 E-mail, 10/31/01, Bates No. MRO 00067 DEPOSITION OF JASON SCOTT KASPER, taken on 12 87 54 E-mail 8/15/01, Bates No. MRO 00036 behalf of the plaintiff, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Irma Widomski, E-mail chain, 8/24/01, Bates No. MRO 13 88 54 00038 Registered Meilt Reporter, Certified Shorthand 14 Reporter, No. 016512 and Notary Public, in and 89 54 E-mail, 8/29/01, Bates No. MRO 00041 15 to 00042 for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at Gesmer UpdeGrove, LLP, 40 Broad Street, Boston, 90 54 E-mail 6/7/01, Bates No. MRO 00028 to 16 Massachusetts, on Tuesday, November 22, 2005, 17 91 54 E-mail, 11/1/01, Bates No. MRO 00072 18 92 54 E-mail 9/20/01, Bates No. MRO 01361 19 93 54 E-mail 9/20/01, Bates No. MRO 01362 20 SHIEA COURT REPORTING SERVICES ONE UNION STREET, SECOND FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02108-2408 (617) 227-3057 94 79 MRO Software, Announcing Application Hosting for MAXIMO, Bates No. MRO 01384 to 01385 21 22 95 79 Mantis Application Hosting from M2 23 Consulting, Bates No. MRO 01529 Mantis QuickStart for MAXIMO, Bates No. MRO 01530 24 96 79 2 APPEARANCES: 1 97 79 M2 Consulting/MRO Software MARK S. RESNICK, ESQUIRE 2 Proposed contract changes, Bates No. Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C. MRO 01391 321 Boston Post Road 3 Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 For the plaintiff 4 5 KURT BRATTEN, ESQUIRE 6 Gesmer, UpdeGrove, LLP 7 40 Broad Street 8 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 9 For the defendant 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

No	vem	nber 22, 2005	M2 CONSULTING, INC. VS. MRO SOFTWARE, INC.		
		61			63
1	Ι Α.	I don't recall.	1		with the alliance partners that you were in
2	2 Q	I would like to show you what we've marked as	2		charge of?
3	}	Exhibit 88. This is an e-mail chain and I would	3	Α.	Yes.
4	ļ	like to direct your attention to the first part	4	Q.	Well, on the second part of this e-mail says,
5	;	of the chain which is on the bottom. Jason	5		"Have you had an opportunity to speak with Chip
6	i	Kasper to Rick Bevington, August 24, 2001.	6		about the credit enhancement," what do you mean
7		Where you say, "I am not sure how you would like	7		by the credit enhancement?
8		to proceed with the contract but I have listed a	8	Α.	I do not know.
9		few changes I would like to use as a starting	9	Q.	And at what point would Nancy Gilroy get
10		point." What contract are you talking about in	10		involved in the process when you were
11		that e-mail?	11		renegotiating or negotiating an agreement with
12	Α.	It's the partner agreement between M2 and MRO	12		as alliance partner?
13		Software.	13	A.	She would work with me simultaneously on the
14	Q.	Well, now, were you aware in August of 2001 that	14		agreement.
15		MRO and M2 had already executed an agreement?	15	Q.	And did you talk to her before you suggested
16	Α.	Can you clarify that?	16		changes to the agreement that are referenced in
17	Q	Sure. I would like to show you what we've	17		this e-mail?
18		previously marked in this case as Exhibit No. 3.	18	Α.	I don't recall.
19		And if you turn to the back, I think you'll see	19	Q.	Do you recall what the changes were that you
20		a date there.	20		referred to as having listed?
21	Α.	Yes.	21	Α.	No. I do remember maybe one of them and that
22	Q.	Of March 2000. And that I'll represent for the	22		was probably, that was the exclusivity clause
23		record is an agreement between M2 and MRO, were	23		within the agreement.
24		you aware that that agreement was in existence	24	Q.	Coming in or going out?
		62			64
1		at the time you sent this e-mail to Rick	1	Α.	I'm sorry.
2		Bevington in August of 2001?	2	Q.	Was the change to put it in or to take it out?
3	Α.	Yes.	3	Α.	To take it out.
4	Q.	And what was the partner agreement supposed to	4	Q.	Had you talked with Nancy Gilroy about removing
5	^	do?	5	^	exclusivity from a new agreement?
6	Α.	This agreement?	6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	No. Okay, let me back up. I think you said	7	Q.	What did she tell you about that?
8 9		that when I asked you what contract you were talking about in Exhibit 88, you said a partner	8	Α.	That that was acceptable to negotiate that out
10		agreement. And I guess my question is why were	10	Q.	of the agreement. And did she suggest that exclusivity should come
11		you talking to Mr. Bevington about negotiating a	11	Q.	out of the new agreement, did she suggest that
12		partner agreement in August of 2001?	12		to you?
13	Α.	My recollection is that this agreement from	13	Α.	I don't recall.
14		March 2000 was expiring or we had a requirement	14	Q.	Was removing exclusivity from the new agreement
15		to renegotiate the contract.	15	α.	your idea?
16	Q.	Do you know which of those two it was, expires	16	Α.	No.
17	•	or a requirement to renegotiate the contract?	17	Q.	Well, do you have any understanding as to the
18	Α.	No.	18		reason why exclusivity was one of the changes
19	Q.	And why is it that you were talking to	19		that MRO was suggesting for the new agreement?
20	-	Mr. Bevington about changes to the agreement as	20	Α.	We had a corporate policy at that time to not
21		a starting point in August of 2001?	21		have we do not offer exclusivity to any
22	Α.	Because it was either the agreement expired or	22		partner agreement.
23		we had to renew the agreement for some reason.	23	Q.	Was that also a corporate policy that was in
24	Q.	Were you in charge of negotiating agreements	24		effect in 2000?
					Shop Court Poporting Sorvices (617) 227-3097

M2 CONSULTING, INC. VS. MRO SOFTWARE, INC.

November 22, 2005

A I do not know. 2 Q Did you ever hear anyone say prior to 2001 that a mexchistrity provision in M2's contract was in a mexchistrity provision in M2's contract was in 3 whether somebody has to pay ACSP? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Whe said that? 7 A I don't recall wind but within our group, that was mentioned. In the M2 agreement that stuck of out, the reclaisivity as it healds the there. 13 Q And that was just a conversation you diverteard in the alliance group at some point in time? 14 A Yes. 15 Q De you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 18 A 2001. 19 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 10 A 2001. 11 A No. 12 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 12 A No. 13 Q And what's your understanding of whether support in the providing frontiine support. 15 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 18 A 2001. 19 Q Do you remember anything ebe that was said at that point in time when that statement was made? 10 A No. 11 A No. 12 A Yes. 13 Q And what's your understanding of whether somebody has to pay ACSP any any understanding of whether was any the providing frontiine support in a partner is a providing frontiine support in the providing frontiine support in the providing frontiine support in the support portion of whatever sale they wall. 15 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Yes. 18 A Yes. 19 A Yes. 19 A Yes. 20 Q And did N2 provide front-line support in 2001 as part of its service? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And did N2 provide front-line support in 2001 as part of its service? 20 MR Spatial and your spatial that was a partner in any line providing frontiine support in 2001 as part of its service? 21 A No. 22 A So dops frontiline support in 2001 as part of its service? 23 A Yes and ACSP fare, a lower precentage? 24 A Typicially yes. 25 A No. 26 A No. 27 A No. 28 A No. 29 A No. 29 A No. 20 A Model's precedit frontiline suppor	1412		NOOLTHO, INO. VO. WING SOT TVANE, INO.			11070111201 22, 200
2 Q Did you ever hear anyone say prior to 2001 that 3 an exclusivity provision in M2s contract was in 4 any way inconsistent with corporate policy? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Who saic that? 7 A I cloth recall who but within our group, that 8 was mentioned. In the M2 agreement that stuck 9 out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. 10 Q And that was just a conversation you overheard 11 in the alliance group at some gone; in time? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Buy you don't remember who made that statement? 14 A No. 15 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at 20 that point in time when that statement was made? 20 A Na. 21 A No. 22 How — after this e-mail exchange in August, late August at 2001 — how frequently did you communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the engogration of a new agreement? 2 A I don't recall. 2 Q Do you recall any other specific terms that you discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the separation which is at the bottom of the second goage is you to Mr. Bevington on August 28. 2001, you were asking him whether he pays as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this enabled that was agaid at 29. A No. 2 Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this enabled and the very first piece of this enabled on the whole asked you to find out tract information? 3 A No. I was in Patty Foys who asked you to or Pot 19 Accept who asked you to find out tract information? 4 A I don't recall. 5 A Can you are enabled to the enable of the second goage is you to the Mr. Bevington regarding the contraction of a new agreement? 5 A Can you are aware of you were asking him whether he pays the pay that we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this enabled for the pay of the pay who asked you to find out tract information? 4 A No. 5 A No. I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this enabled for the pay of t						
any way inconsistent with corporate policy? A Yes. B Q. Who said that? A I don't recall who but within our group, that was mentioned. In the M2 agreement that stuck out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. A Was mentioned. In the M2 agreement that stuck out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. A Was mentioned in the M2 agreement that stuck out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. A Was mentioned in the M2 agreement that stuck out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. A Was mentioned in the M2 agreement that stuck out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. A Was mentioned in the M2 agreement that stuck out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. A Was mentioned in the W2 agreement that stuck out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. A Was mantioned in the Was made that statement? A Was Was mentioned in the was made? A No. C Do you remember who made that statement? A No. C Do you remember when that statement was made? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Hard point in time whether it was in 2000 or 2001? A No. C Now I would like to show you what we have marked B as Exhibit 80 and the very first piece of this B e mail thain which is at the bottom of the C Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001. A No. C Now I would like to show you what we have marked B e mail thain which is at the b	1	Ι Α.	I do not know.	1		
4 MR. BRATTEN: Objection. 5 A Yes. 6 Who said that? 7 A I don't recall who but within our group, that 8 was mentioned. In the M2 agreement that stuck 9 out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. 10 Q And that was just a conversation you overheard 11 in the aliance group at some point in time? 12 A Yes. 13 O But you don't remember who made that statement? 14 A No. 15 O Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 O Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at A 2001. 19 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at A No. 20 O How after this e-mail exchange in August, 21 A No. 22 Q How after this e-mail exchange in August, 23 late August of 2001 how frequently did you communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 10 Repolation of a new agreement? 11 Repolation of a new agreement? 12 A I don't recall. 13 C Do you recall any other specific terms that you discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 14 Repolation of a new agreement? 15 A C Repolation of a new agreement? 16 A No. 17 C Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he poys 10 mentioned the second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he poys 11 Repolation from Rick Bevington to August 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he poys 11 Repolation from Rick Bevington to 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 20 onto the second e-mail in this chain which is the side on fact by 20 onto the second rem Rick Bevington to you on 20 onto the second rem Rick Bevington to you on 20 onto the second rem Rick Bevington to you on 20 onto the second rem Rick Bevington to you on 20 onto the second rem Rick Bevington to you on 20 onto the second rem Rick Bevington to you on 20 onto the second rem Rick Bevington	2	2 Q	Did you ever hear anyone say prior to 2001 that	2		frontline support has anything to do with
5 A Yes. 6 Q. Who said that? 7 A I don't recall who but within our group, that 8 was mentioned. In the M2 agreement that stuck 9 out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. 10 Q. And that was just a conversation you everneed in the alliance group at some point in time? 11 A Yes. 12 A Yes. 13 Q But you don't remember who made that statement? 14 A No. 15 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2017? 18 A 2001. 19 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at that point in time when that statement was made? 21 A No. 22 Q How after this e-mail exchange in August, late a that point in time when that statement was made? 23 Iake August of 2011 how frequently did you communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the e-mail coan which is at the bottom of the second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August information? 23 A No. 24 Q Now (would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 99 and the very first piece of this e-mail coan which is at the bottom of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is at the bottom of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is at the bottom of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is at the bottom of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is at the bottom of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is at the bottom of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is at the bottom of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is at the bottom of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is the the dot of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is the the dot of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is the the dot of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is the the dot of the many first piece of the e-mail coan which is the bottom of the many first piece of the e-mail coan which is the bottom of the many first piece of the e-mail coan which is the bottom of the many first piece of this e-mail coan which is the bottom of the many first piece of thi	3	3	an exclusivity provision in M2's contract was in	3		whether somebody has to pay ACSP?
8 Q. Sure. Do you have any understanding as to whether ACSP, the obligation to pay ACSP by an alliance partner is different if a partner is providing frontline support. 10 Q. And that was just a conversation you overheard in the alliance garber is different if a partner is providing frontline support. 11 In the alliance group at some point in time? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. But you don't remember who made that statement? 14 A. No. 15 Q. Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you remember when that statement was made? 18 A. 2001. 19 Q. Do you remember anything else that was said at that point in time when that statement was made? 20 A. No. 21 A. No. 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, a late August of 2001 how frequently did you communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the email consists of a new agreement? 2 A. I don't recall. 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Do you recall any other specific terms that you discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the email on an ewagreement? 4 A. I don't recall. 5 A. No. 6 C. Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 69 and the very first piece of this email on an which is at the bottom of the second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 24. ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to find out that information? 4 A. John't recall. Looking at this, it says maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked which has been defined the contract of the second e-mail in this shain which starts at the bottom of the first page, it goes over mail that state who asked wou to firm Rick Bevington to you are assets from the west who asked to not the second from Rick Bevington to you and at the bottom of the first page, it goes over a transfer from the back page and tell ment of the first page, it goes over a contract of the first page, it goes over a contract of the first page, it goes over a contract of the first page, it goes over a contract of the first page, it goes over a contract page and the very first	4	1	any way inconsistent with corporate policy?	4		MR. BRATTEN: Objection.
7 A. I don't recall who but within our group, that 8 was mentioned. In the M3 agreement that stuck 9 out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. 10 Q. And that was just a conversation you overheard 11 in the alliance group at some point in time? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. But you don't remember who made that statement? 14 A. Na. 15 Q. Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you remember whether lit was in 2000 or 2001? 18 Q. Do you remember whether lit was in 2000 or 2001? 19 Q. Do you remember whether lit was in 2000 or 2001? 19 Q. Do you remember whether lit was in 2000 or 2001? 19 Q. Do you remember whether lit was in 2000 or 2001? 19 Q. Do you remember whether lit was in 2000 or 2001? 19 Q. Do you remember whether lit was in 2000 or 2001? 10 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 20 Late August of 2001 how frequently did you 21 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 24 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 25 negotation of a new agreement? 20 A. Vis. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 22 C. And did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as pert of its service? 23 Is it that if an alliance partner is different if a partner is different in the support, there may be negotiated in the contract a different requirement in terms of payment to the support, there may be negotiated in the contract a different requirement in terms of payment to the support, there may be negotiated in the contract a different requirement in terms of payment to the support portion of whatever sale they sell. 2 D. Yes. 2 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 2 Q. Is at the form I have been after the support portion of whatever sale they sell. 2 Q. Is at the far an all the partner first? 3 Q. Do you recall him the stateme	5	Α.	Yes.	5	Α.	Can you repeat that question?
was mentioned. In the M2 agreement that stuck put, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there, out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there. 9	6	Q.	Who said that?	6	Q.	Sure. Do you have any understanding as to
9 providing frontline support? 10 A And that was just a conversation you overheard 11 in the alliance group at some point in time? 12 A Yes. 13 Q But you don't remember who made that statement? 14 A No. 15 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at on the point in time when that statement was made? 20 A so possed to they call the partner first? 21 A No. 22 Q How after this e-mail exchange in August, communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the semal chain which is at the bottom of the second page is you to Mr. Bevington or an usust ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat August 27 Quot were asking him whether he pays AcSp, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat August 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays AcSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat August 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays AcSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat August 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays AcSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat August 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays AcSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat August 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays AcSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 20 August 28, when he says, "We go in fact by 30 and be reported from Rick Bevington to you on 20 and page is a patt of its sentine support, there may be negotiated in the contract as upport, there may be negotiated in the contract as different remained in the uscender in the uscomer has apported to the was premised for the support portion of the MRO help desk first? 15 A I don't recall. 26 A I don't recall any other specific terms that you as a part of its service? 27 A I I don't recall any other specific terms that you as a part of its service? 28 AcSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you	7	Α.	I don't recall who but within our group, that	7		whether ACSP, the obligation to pay ACSP by an
10 Q. And that was just a conversation you overheard 11 in the alliance group at some point in time? 12 A Yes. 13 Q But you don't remember who made that statement? 14 A No. 15 Q. Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at that point in time when that statement was made? 20 that point in time when that statement was made? 21 A No. 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 23 (and five agreement? 24 A I don't recall. 25 A I don't recall. 26 Q Do you recall any other specific terms that you discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the negotiation of a new agreement? 26 A No. 27 Q Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the second grage is you to Mr. Bevington on August information? 10 Second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August information? 11 A Yes. 12 A Yes. 13 A Yes. 14 A No. 15 Q Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the or mail that which is at the bottom of the second grage is you to Mr. Bevington on August information? 16 A I don't recall. 17 A I don't recall. 18 A I don't recall. 19 A No. 10 Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the second grage is you to Mr. Bevington on August I No. 16 Q Now I would like to show you should whather the pays in the first page, it goes over in the bottom of the first page, it goes over in the bottom of the first page, it goes over in the bottom of the first page, it goes over in the bottom of the first page, it goes over in the bottom of the first page, it goes over in the bottom of the first page, it goes over in the bottom of the first page, it goes over in the bottom of the first page, it goes over in t	8		was mentioned. In the M2 agreement that stuck	8		alliance partner is different if a partner is
In the alliance group at some point in time? 12 A Yes. 3 Q But you don't remember who made that statement? 14 A No. 15 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at the point in time when that statement was made? 20 Q How — after this e-mail exchange in August, and interest of 2001 — how frequently did you communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the regotiation of a new agreement? 10 A No. 11 Q Do you recall any other specific terms that you discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the regotiation of a new agreement? 15 A No. 16 A No. 17 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at the bottom of the first page, it goes over the requirement in terms of payment to us for what is expected in terms of revenue for the support protion of whatever sale they sell. 16 S Q does front-line support mean if the customer has a problem, they call the partner first? 19 Q Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 10 A No. 21 A Yes. 22 Q How — after this e-mail exchange in August, 22 Q And did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as part of its service? 24 A Idon't recall. 25 Q La don't recall. 26 Is it that if an alliance partner is providing front-line support, then they have to pay less of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? 16 A No. 17 Q Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 99 and the very first piece of this 18 Q Rosposed to they call the MRO help desk first? 29 Q La did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as part of its service? 20 MR. BRATTEN: Objection. 20 A I don't recall. 21 A I don't recall. 22 Q Land did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as part of its service? 23 MR. BRATTEN: Objection. 24 A I don't recall. 25 Q Lis it that if an alliance partner is providing front-line support, then they have to pay less of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? 26 A Typically, if a partner first? 27 A No. 28 Q Rosposed to they call the MRO h	9		out, the exclusivity as it shouldn't be there.	9		providing frontline support?
12 A Yes. 13 Q But you don't remember who made that statement? 14 A No. 15 Q Do you remember when that statement was made? 15 Q Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 16 A Zoot. 17 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at that point in time when that statement was made? 19 Q Do you remember anything else that was said at that point in time when that statement was made? 21 A No. 22 Q How after this e-mail exchange in August, late August of 2001 how frequently did you you remail exchange in August, late August of 2001 how frequently did you you remail exchange in August, late August of 2001 how frequently did you you remail exchange in August, late August of 2001 how frequently did you you remail exchange in August, late August of 2001 how frequently did you you remail exchange in August, late August of 2001 how frequently did you you remail any other specific terms that you discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the negotiation of a new agreement? 2 A I don't recall. 3 Q Do you remail any other specific terms that you discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the negotiation of a new agreement? 4 A No. 6 A No. 7 Q Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 10 A No. 10 A No. 11 A John't recall. 12 A John't recall. 13 A John't recall which is at the bottom of the second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 August 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays and the very first piece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the second e-mail in this chain which starts 11 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 12 A Yes. 15 A John't recall. 16 A No. 17 Q Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 12 A John't recall which you what we pay and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 18 A John't recall which you what we pay and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 19 A No. 10 A No. 11 A John't recall which you what we pay and tell me if it	10	Q.	And that was just a conversation you overheard	10	Α.	Yes.
support, there may be negotiated in the contract 14 A No. 15 Q. Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q. Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q. Do you remember anything else that was said at 20 that point in time when that statement was made? 21 A No. 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 23 late August of 2001 how frequently clid you 24 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 25 negotiation of a new agreement? 26 A No. 27 Q Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first plece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the information? 28 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat in AcsP, who asked you to find out that information? 16 A Condition of the first page, it goes over in a AcsP, who have the second from Rick Bevington to you on the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 23 seles reporting report we were tolking about in form the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 23 seles reporting report we were tolking about in form were tolking about in fact by seles not fact by 23 seles reporting report we were tolking about selections as a selection form to we were tolking about in fact by selection for fact by selection form fact by selection fact by selection form fact by selection fact by selection fact by selection form fact by selection fact by selecti	11		in the alliance group at some point in time?	11	Q.	And what's your understanding of that?
14 A No. 15 Q. Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q. Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q. Do you remember anything else that was said at 2001 or 2001 as part of the support mean if the customer has a problem, they call the partner first? 19 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 23 late August of 2001 how frequently clid you 23 part of its service? 24 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 24 Mr. Bevington regarding the 25 megatiation of a new agreement? 26 A I don't recall. 27 Q. I don't recall. 28 Q. I don't recall any other specific terms that you discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 26 megatiation of a new agreement? 27 A. No. 28 Q. Now It would like to show you what we have marked as as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this 28 Q. Sool, you were asking him whether he pays 29 ACSP's mail the affect of the 29 email chain which is at the bottom of the 29 email chain which is at the bottom of the 29 email chain which is at the bottom of the 29 email chain which is at the bottom of the 30 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked you to find out that 30 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 31 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 32 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 32 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 34 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 35 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 36 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 37 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 39 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 39 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 39 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 30 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 30 mentance renewals group, so that's who asked 30 mentance renewals group, s	12	Α.	Yes.	12	A.	Typically, if a partner handles front-line
15 Q. Do you remember when that statement was made? 16 A No. 17 Q. Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q. Do you remember anything else that was said at 20 that point in time when that statement was made? 21 A No. 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 23 late August of 2001 how frequently did you 24 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Do you recall any other specific terms that you 4 discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 5 negotiation of a new agreement? 6 A. No. 2 Q. Now I would like to show you what we have marked 8 as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. 16 C. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about 17 A No. 18 A J don't recall. 19 A. Yes. 20 And did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as part of its service? 21 MR. BRATTEN: Objection. 22 A. I don't recall. 23 Li that if an alliance partner is providing 24 front-line support, then they have to pay less of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? 25 A. Typically, yes. 26 Q. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about 27 abandoning the M2 relationship because payment of ACSP's had not been negotiated into the original agreement that you are aware of? 28 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 29 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 30 McHale who asked you to find out that 41 information? 42 A Con. 43 Con. Now It would like to show you what we've marked 44 as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some 45 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 46 A Yes. 47 Typically, yes. 48 A Typically yes. 49 Condition which is at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 40 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 40 A No. 41 A No. 42 Condition which is at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 40 A Conditio	13	Q	But you don't remember who made that statement?	13		support, there may be negotiated in the contract
16 A No. 17 Q Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q. Do you remember anything else that was said at the subject many thing else that was said at the bottom of the first page, it goes over onto the second e-mail in this sail, and the bottom of the first page, it goes over onto the second e-mail in this chain which starts in the forth most of the first page, it goes over onto the second from Rick Bewington I to you on 20	14	Α.	No.	14		a different requirement in terms of payment to
17 Q. Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001? 18 A 2001. 19 Q. Do you remember anything else that was said at 20 that point in time when that statement was made? 20 that point in time when that statement was made? 21 A. No. 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 23 late August of 2001 how frequently did you 24 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 24 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 25 megotiation of a new agreement? 26 A. I don't recall. 27 A. No. 28 Q. Yes. 29 Q. And did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as part of its service? 20 Q. And did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as part of its service? 21 A. I don't recall. 28 A. I don't recall. 29 Q. Is it that if an alliance partner is providing 30 Q. Do you recall any other specific terms that you 4 discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 4 negotiation of a new agreement? 4 A. No. 4 no. 4 no. 5 no. 6 n	15	Q.	Do you remember when that statement was made?	15		us for what is expected in terms of revenue for
18 A 2001. 19 Q. Do you remember anything else that was said at 20 that point in time when that statement was made? 21 A No. 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 22 Q. And did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as 23 late August of 2001 how frequently did you 24 part of its service? 24 MR. BRATTEN: Objection. 68 1 negotiation of a new agreement? 68 1 A I don't recall. 2 Q. Is it that if an alliance partner is providing 69 front-line support, then they have to pay less 69 of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? 69 of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? 60 A No. 60 Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about 60 ACSP is and not been negotiated into the 60 original agreement that you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 16 information? 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. 16 Unon't recall. 17 when they have to pay less 17 MR. Bratten from the 18 original agreement that you are aware of? 19 A. No. 19 was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 19 information? 10 Now I would like to show you what we've marked 19 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 16 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 O. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 19 MR. Brattenhents. Can you just turn to the back page 19 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay 21 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 and the bottom of the first page, it goes over 19 MR. Brattenhents. Can you dated May 1, 2001, where he says, "We pay what we pay 21 Lis not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 20 the next sentence he says, "We pay what we pay 21 Lis not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 20 the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 20 Sales and ACSP." Then 20 Sales and ACSP. Then 20 Sales	16	Α.	No.	16		the support portion of whatever sale they sell.
that point in time when that statement was made? 20	17	Q.	Do you remember whether it was in 2000 or 2001?	17	Q.	So does front-line support mean if the customer
that point in time when that statement was made? 1 A. No. 2 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August,	18	Α.	2001.	18		has a problem, they call the partner first?
21 A. No. 22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 23 late August of 2001 how frequently did you 24 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 68 1 negotiation of a new agreement? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q Do you recall any other specific terms that you 4 discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 5 negotiation of a new agreement? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Now I would like to show you what we have marked 8 as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 Z8, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. 16 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the bottom of the server first pieces of the sales reporting report we were talking about	19	Q.	Do you remember anything else that was said at	19	Α.	Yes.
22 Q. How after this e-mail exchange in August, 23 late August of 2001 how frequently did you 24 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 66 1 negotiation of a new agreement? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q Do you recall any other specific terms that you 4 discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 5 negotiation of a new agreement? 6 A No. 7 Q. Now I would like to show you what we have marked 8 as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 Information? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We do in fact by 22 C. And did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as part of its service? MR. BRATTEN: Objection. 68 1 A I don't recall. 2 Q. Is it that if an alliance partner is providing front-line support, then they have to pay less of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? 5 A. Typically, yes. 6 Q. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about abandoning the M2 relationship because payment of ACSP's had not been negotiated into the original agreement that you are aware of? 10 A. No. 11 A. I don't recall. 12 A. No. 13 A. No. 14 A. No. 15 A. Ves. 16 Q. Now I would like to show you what we've marked as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some attachments. Can you just turn to the back page and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 17 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he avys, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 1	20		that point in time when that statement was made?	20	Q.	As opposed to they call the MRO help desk first?
late August of 2001 how frequently did you communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 66 1 negotiation of a new agreement? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q Do you recall any other specific terms that you discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 4 discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 5 negotiation of a new agreement? 6 A No. 7 Q. Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 A I don't recall. 8 A Sexployed and the very first piece of this 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 A I don't recall. 8 A No. 12 A No. 13 A No. 14 A No. 15 A No. 16 A No. 17 A No. 18 A No. 19 A No. 11 Can you were asking him whether he pays 11 A No. 12 A No. 13 A No. 14 A I don't recall. 15 A No. 16 A No. 17 A No. 18 A No. 19 A No. 10 A No. 11 Can you were asking him whether he pays 11 A I don't recall and the marked as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some attachments. Can you just turn to the back page and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 16 A I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 17 A I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 18 A I don't recall. 19 A Looking at this, it says 19 A I don't recall and tell me if it says MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 A No. 19 A Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 A Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 20 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the bottom of the first page, i'we do in fact by 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	21	Α.	No.	21	Α.	Yes.
24 communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the 66 6 68 1 negotiation of a new agreement? 2 A. I don't recall. 2 Do you recall any other specific terms that you 4 discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 5 negotiation of a new agreement? 6 A. No. 6 Q. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about 7 Q. Now I would like to show you what we have marked 8 as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 12 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 11 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the bottom of the first page, it's one in fact by 24 MR. BRATTEN: Objection. 68 1 A. I don't recall. 68 1 A. I don't recall. 68 1 A. I don't recall. 69 10 A. No. 70 11 A. I don't recall. 60 12 A. Typically, yes. 60 20 A. Typically, yes. 61 20 A. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about 71 abandoning the M2 relationship because payment 72 original agreement that you are aware of? 73 44 abandoning the M2 relationship because payment 74 abandoning the M2 relationship because payment 75 A. No. 76 9 O. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about 77 18 A. No. 19 O. Now I would like to show you what we've marked 19 at attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 19 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 10 A. No. 11 B. A. Yes. 12 A. Yes. 13 A. Yes. 14 B. Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 15 A. Yes. 16 P. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 18 C. Now I would like to show you what we've marked 19 A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 11 A. No. 12 A. Yes. 13 A.	22	Q.	How after this e-mail exchange in August,	22	Q.	And did M2 provide front-line support in 2001 as
1 negotiation of a new agreement? 1 A. I don't recall. 2 Q. Is it that if an alliance partner is providing 3 Q Do you recall any other specific terms that you 3 front-line support, then they have to pay less of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? 5 A. Typically, yes. 6 A. No. 6 Q. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about abandoning the M2 relationship because payment of ACSP's had not been negotiated into the 9 original agreement that you are aware of? 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 10 A. No. 11 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 11 Q. Now I would like to show you to or Pat 12 as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 13 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 14 information? 14 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 15 A. Yes. 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 17 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 18 Looking on the first page, it goes over 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 12 it is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 22 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	23		late August of 2001 how frequently did you	23		part of its service?
1 A. I don't recall. 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q Do you recall any other specific terms that you 4 discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 5 negotiation of a new agreement? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Now I would like to show you what we have marked 8 as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 12 8, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 A. I don't recall. 2 Q. Is it that if an alliance partner is providing 3 front-line support, then they have to pay less 6 A. Typically, yes. 6 A. Typically, yes. 6 Q. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about 7 abandoning the M2 relationship because payment 8 of ACSP's had not been negotiated into the 9 original agreement that you are aware of? 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 A. I don't recall. 6 Q. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about 7 abandoning the M2 relationship because payment 8 of ACSP's had not been negotiated into the 9 original agreement that you are aware of? 9 A. No. 10 A. No. 11 Q. Now I would like to show you what we've marked 12 as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some 13 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 14 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents 17 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 18 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the payment to be an attachment. Is this the 24 the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 25 sales reporting report we were talking about	24		communicate with Mr. Bevington regarding the	24		MR. BRATTEN: Objection.
2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q Do you recall any other specific terms that you 4 discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the 5 negotiation of a new agreement? 6 A No. 7 Q. Now I would like to show you what we have marked 8 as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the first page, "We do in fact by 2 Q. Is it that if an alliance partner is providing front-line support, then they have to pay less of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? 5 A. Typically, yes. 6 Q. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about abandoning the M2 relationship because payment of aCSP's had not been negotiated into the original agreement that you are aware of? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Now I would like to show you what we've marked as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some attachments. Can you just turn to the back page and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 17 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 28 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, application hosting activity report 2001.xis." 18 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 29 The pay what we pay. 20 Sales reporting report we were talking about			66			68
discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the negotiation of a new agreement? A. No. O. Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August A. CSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat information? A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked me. I do not know specifically who. D. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts at the bottom of the first page, it goes over onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by a front-line support, then they have to pay less of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? A. Typically, yes. A. No. A. No.	1		negotiation of a new agreement?	1	Α.	I don't recall.
discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the negotiation of a new agreement? A. No. O. Now I would like to show you what we have marked second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat McHale who asked you to find out that information? A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked the bottom of the first page, it goes over at the bottom of the first page, it goes over the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage? A. Typically, yes. A. Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about A ACSP,'s had not been negotiated into the original agreement that you are aware of? A. No. 10 A. No. 11 Q. Now I would like to show you what we've marked as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some attachments. Can you just turn to the back page and tell me if it says MRO 00034? A. Yes. B. Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, application hosting activity report 2001.xls." It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 22 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the sales reporting report we were talking about	2	Α.	I don't recall.	2	Q.	Is it that if an alliance partner is providing
negotiation of a new agreement? A. No. No. Now I would like to show you what we have marked as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to find out that ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to a sexphibit 90. This is an e-mail with some attachments. Can you just turn to the back page and tell me if it says MRO 00034? ACSP, was it don't recall. Looking at this, it says action maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked action me. I do not know specifically who. ACSP, was it patty Foye who asked who asked action me. I do not know specifically who. ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked action me. I do not know specifically who. ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked action me if it says MRO 00034? ACSP, was it patty Foye who asked action me if it says MRO 00034? ACSP, was it patty Foye who asked action me if it says MRO 00034? ACSP's had not been negotiated into the action has in Michael was action to be action which say action has in a bandoning the M2 relationship because payment abandoning the M2 relati	3	Q	Do you recall any other specific terms that you	3		front-line support, then they have to pay less
6 A. No. 7 Q. Now I would like to show you what we have marked 8 as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this 9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some 22 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 23 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 24 Looking on the first page, it goes over 25 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 26 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 27 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 28 La papears to be an attachment. Is this the 29 the MRO 1201 about 20 bandoning the M2 relationship because payment 20 dahodning the M2 relationship because payment 21 dahonto the magotiated into the 22 original agreement that you are aware of? 23 salandoning the M2 relationship because payment 24 of ACSP's had not been negotiated into the 25 original agreement that you are aware of? 26 A. No. 27 Now I would like to show you what we've marked 28 as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some 29 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 20 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 21 Looking on the first page, it's a series of documents 22 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 23 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 24 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 25 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 26 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 27 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 28 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 29 application hosting activity report 2001.xls."	4		discussed with Mr. Bevington regarding the	4		of an ACSP fee, a lower percentage?
A CSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 1 don't recall. Looking at this, it says 1 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 10 of ACSP. Then 20 me. It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 21 tips not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 22 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the second report of the poriginal agreement that you are aware of? 10 A. No. 10 A. No. 10 A. No. 11 Q. Now I would like to show you what we've marked as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some attachments. Can you just turn to the back page and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he says, "We pay what we pay. 21 application hosting activity report 2001.xis." 18 appears to be an attachment. Is this the sales reporting report we were talking about	5		negotiation of a new agreement?	5	Α.	Typically, yes.
as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 tis not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 22 the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 20 on ACSP's had not been negotiated into the 9 original agreement that you are aware of? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Now I would like to show you what we original agreement that you are aware of? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Now I would like to show you what we've marked 12 as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some 13 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 14 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents 17 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	6	Α.	No.	6	Q.	Was there any talk at MRO in 2001 about
9 e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the 10 second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 11 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 10 A. No. 11 Q. Now I would like to show you what we've marked 12 as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some 13 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 14 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents 17 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the pext sentence he says, "We do in fact by 24 sales reporting report we were talking about	7	Q.	Now I would like to show you what we have marked	7		abandoning the M2 relationship because payment
second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 12 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 13 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 A. No. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the bottom of reall. A. No. 28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 29 as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some 20 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 20 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 21 A. Yes. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the page is you to Mr. Bevington on August 24 A. No. 26 Now I would like to show you what we've marked 27 as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some 28 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 29 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 20 So, for the record, it's a series of documents 29 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 20 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, 21 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 21 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	8		as Exhibit 89 and the very first piece of this	8		of ACSP's had not been negotiated into the
28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays 12 ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat 13 McHale who asked you to find out that 14 information? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the bottom of the fact by 20 So, for the record, it's a series of documents 21 D. Now I would like to show you what we've marked 22 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 23 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page 24 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 25 A. Yes. 26 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents 27 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 28 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 39 Says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, 30 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 30 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 30 sales reporting report we were talking about	9		e-mail chain which is at the bottom of the	9		original agreement that you are aware of?
ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat McHale who asked you to find out that Information? A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says Information and tell me if it says MRO 00034? A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says Information and tell me if it says MRO 00034? A. Yes. Information and tell me if it says MRO 100034. A.	10		second page is you to Mr. Bevington on August	10	A.	No.
McHale who asked you to find out that information? A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked me. I do not know specifically who. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts at the bottom of the first page, it goes over onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 13 attachments. Can you just turn to the back page and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 14 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, 21 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 22 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	11		28, 2001, you were asking him whether he pays	11	Q.	Now I would like to show you what we've marked
information? 14 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 14 and tell me if it says MRO 00034? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents 17 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, 21 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 22 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	12		ACSP, was it Patty Foye who asked you to or Pat	12		as Exhibit 90. This is an e-mail with some
15 A. I don't recall. Looking at this, it says 16 maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked 17 me. I do not know specifically who. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents 17 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, 21 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 22 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	13		McHale who asked you to find out that	13		attachments. Can you just turn to the back page
maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked me. I do not know specifically who. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts at the bottom of the first page, it goes over onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 16 Q. So, for the record, it's a series of documents bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, application hosting activity report 2001.xls." Lit appears to be an attachment. Is this the sales reporting report we were talking about	14		information?	14		and tell me if it says MRO 00034?
me. I do not know specifically who. 17 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 17 bearing the Bates Numbers MRO 28 through MRO 34. 18 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, 21 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 22 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	15	Α.	I don't recall. Looking at this, it says	15	Α.	Yes.
18 Q. Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts 19 at the bottom of the first page, it goes over 20 onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on 21 August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 20 Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to 19 Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, 21 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 22 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	16		maintenance renewals group, so that's who asked	16	Q.	So, for the record, it's a series of documents
at the bottom of the first page, it goes over onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by The Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, application hosting activity report 2001.xls." It appears to be an attachment. Is this the sales reporting report we were talking about	17		me. I do not know specifically who.	17		
onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 20 says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S, application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 21 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	18	Q.	Now the second e-mail in this chain which starts	18		Looking on the first page, it's Tom Schulte to
August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay. 1 application hosting activity report 2001.xls." 2 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 2 It appears to be an attachment. Is this the 2 sales reporting report we were talking about	19		at the bottom of the first page, it goes over	19		Mr. Leone and you dated May 1, 2001, where he
22 It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then 23 the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	20		onto the second from Rick Bevington to you on	20		says, "Finally, M2 Mantis M-A-N-T-I-S,
23 the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by 23 sales reporting report we were talking about	21		August 28, when he says, "We pay what we pay.	21		application hosting activity report 2001.xls."
	22		It is not broken out by seats and ACSP." Then			
	23		the next sentence he says, "We do in fact by	23		sales reporting report we were talking about

	Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO				iled 03/15/2006 Page 41 of 54
No	vem	ber 22, 2005		M2	2 CONSULTING, INC. VS. MRO SOFTWARE, INC
		77			79
1		specifically, what did you learn about that?	1		discussion regarding increasing the percentage
2	Α.	That it wasn't worth their time based on the	2		that M2 paid to MRO on sales of hosted MAXIMO?
3		pricing model and their compensation plan.	3	A.	I don't recall.
4	Q	Who told you that?	4	Q.	Was there any discussion about including in that
5	А	Sales person.	5		agreement a provision known as an integration
6	Q	Do you remember the name of the sales person?	6		clause?
7	А	Bill King.	7		MR. BRATTEN: Objection.
8	Q	Did you have any other discussions about that	8	А	I don't recall.
9		subject with anyone other than Bill King?	9	Q	Was there any discussion when you were involved
10	Α.	No.	10		in the negotiations regarding a clause known as
11	Q	Did anybody at MRO scratch that. Did you	11		a termination for convenience clause?
12		ever hear anyone at MRO saying that M2 was a	12		MR. BRATTEN: Objection.
13		difficult alliance partner in any way?	13	Α.	I don't recall.
14	Α	No.	14	Q.	Do you know whether anyone at MRO represented to
15	Q.	Did you ever have any discussions with M2	15		Mr. Bevington that if he signed the new
16		regarding a web cast to the entire North	16		agreement that was being proposed by MRO, MRO
17		American sales force of MRO about M2's	17		would provide an increased level of sales
18		capabilities?	18		support for M2's web hosting capability?
19	Α.	No.	19	Α.	I don't recall that.
20	Q.	Did Mr. Bevington ever use the term roll out to	20		MR. RESNICK: I would like to take
21		North American sales in any conversation or	21		five minutes to check my notes but I think we're
22		communication with you that you remember?	22		just about done.
23	Α.	I don't recall.	23		(Exhibits 94-97 marked for identification.)
24	Q.	What's your understanding as to what it means to	24		(Recess.)
		78			80
1		roll a product out to the MRO sales force?	1		BY MR. RESNICK:
2	Α.	My understanding is to inform sales of what a	2	Q	Now, I would like to show you what we've marked
3		partner is, what they do, and how to contact	3		as Exhibit 94. My first question is whether you
4		them for more information.	4		have ever seen that document before?
5	Q.	Anything else that's part of that general?	5	Α.	Yes.
6	Α	Possibly could include sales presentations but	6	Q.	Is that the document that was ultimately sent to
7		not always, marketing information sheets that	7		the entire sales force to inform it about M2's
8		are for external use, website listing on MRO	8		capability?
9		dot-com in the partner sections of our website.	9	Α.	North America sales, yes.
10		That's typically it.	10	Q.	North American sales, okay. I would like to
11	Q.	What does marketing do in terms of supporting	11		show you what we've marked as Exhibit 95, and
12		alliance partners? Strike that. What did	12		ask you if you have ever seen that before?
13		marketing do in 2001 in connection with	13	Α	Yes.
14		supporting MRO's alliance partners?	14	Q.	Do you have any understanding as to why that
15	Α	Worked at signing them up for MRO World to	15		document was created?
16		exhibit, helped with marketing collateral if it	16		MR. BRATTEN: Objection.
17		was required, and potentially web casts.	17	Α.	I don't recall.
10	0	What does werelesting colletowal manna	10	\circ	Do you have any understanding as to what it was

18 Q.

20 A.

Q

used for?

Atlanta.

document before?

19

21

22

23

24

Page 77 - Page 80

What does marketing collateral mean?

used to give to prospects.

Typically, there would be a one-page sheet that

logo and the partner's logo on it and that was

in a new agreement with M2, was there any

would talk about who the partner was, had MRO's

When you began negotiating changes for inclusion

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Shea Court Reporting Services (617) 227-3097

Do you have any understanding as to what it was

I believe it was used for the meeting at -- in

I would like to show you what we've marked as

Exhibit No 96 and ask you have you seen that

Case 1:03-cv-12589-GAO Document 91-2 Filed 03/15/2006 Page 42 of 54

EXHIBIT G

Ε

Ted Williams CondenseIt[™] June 22, 200

Tec	d Williams	Conde	nse	[t ^{IM}	June 22, 200
1	VOL. I	Page 1	1	INDEX	Page
	Pp. 1 - 134 Exhibits 1 - 10		2		ROSS
2	BAHIDICS 1 - 10		3	TED D. WILLIAMS	
3	UNITED STATS DISTRICT COURT		4	(by Mr. Resnick) 6	
4	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS			EXHIBITS	
5	C.A. NO: 03-12589-GAO		5	EXHIBITS	
6			6		or Ident.
7	M2 CONSULTING, INC.,		7	1 The letter dated 5/1/98 to Rick Bevington from Ted Williams	22
8	Plaintiff		8	2 The letter dated 5/15/01 to Rick	24
9	VS.		9	from Ted Williams	
0	MRO SOFTWARE, INC.,		10	3 The document entitled, "The America's Sales Division Monthly	53
1	Defendant		11	Report March FY 2001,"	
12	* * * 1 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		12	4 The two pages of e-mails, Bates Nos. MRO 00349 and MRO 00350	56
13			13	5 The document entitled,	58
14	Deposition of TED WILLIAMS, a witness called by		14	"Announcing Application Hosting for MAXIMO," Bates Nos. MRO 01386	
15	counsel for the Plaintiff, pursuant to the Federal	1	15	and MRO 01387	
6	Rules of Civil Procedure, before Lorreen		16	6 The four-page document containing e-mails, Bates MRO 00336 through	73
7	Hollingsworth, CSR/RPR, CSR No. 114793, and Notary		17	MRO 00339	
8	Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts,		19	7 The letter dated 10/21/02 to Chip Drapeau from Rick Bevington	77
9	at the Offices of Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C., 321 Boston		19	B The e-mail dated 3/4/02 to Bob	113
0	Post Road, Sudbury, Massachusetts, on Wednesday,		20	Parker from Ray Miciek, Bates No. MRO 00134	
1	June 22, 2005, at 10:05 a.m.		21	9 The two pages of e-mails, Bates	117
2			22	Nos. MRO 00173 and MRO 00174	
3			23	The e-mail dated 4/17/03 to Ted Williams from Walt Vanderlaan,	128
4			24	Bates No. MRO 00024	
		Page 2			Page
1	APPEARANCES:		1	TED WILLIAMS,	
2			2	a witness called on behalf of the	
3	MARK S. RESNICK, ESQUIRE Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C.		3	Plaintiff, having first been duly s	sworn,
4	321 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776		4	deposes and says as follows:	
5	On behalf of the Plaintiff		5	MR. RESNICK: Good more	ning,
6	IEE GESMER, ESQUIRE		6	Mr. Williams. My name is Marl	k Resnick. I
7	Gesmer UpdeGrove, LLP 40 Broad Street		7	represent the plaintiffs the plai	ntiff
8	Boston, Massachusetts 02109 On behalf of the Defendant		8	in this the case for which you have	ve been
9			9	subpoenaed today.	
0			10	Before we start the	
1			11	deposition, I want to state for the	record
2		1	12	that the parties have stipulated to	
3			13	usual stipulations: All objections	
4			14	motions to strike and objections	
5			15	form, will be reserved until time	
6			16	The witness can read and	
7			17	within 30 days. We will waive t	•
8		1		I think that's it on	inc notary.
9			18		
0		I	19	stipulations.	
1		1	20	Mr. Williams, have you e	ver
2			21	been deposed before?	
3			22	THE WITNESS: Many year	-
24			23	MR. RESNICK: Okay. I'll	
			24	asking you a series of questions	today.

Tee	d V	Villiams	Conde	nse	eIt ^T	M June 2:	2, 2005
		J	Page 65				Page 67
1		discussing business opportunities that he		1		One was, there was more effort	C
2		was pursuing with MAXIMO with a flow of		2		and expense required on MRO's part to	
3		opportunities from MRO to M2 with how the		3		assist than had originally been	
4		two sales forces would work together. It		4		anticipated; and to make it more attractive	
5		all seems to me to be covered in those		5		for the sales consultants, the MRO's sales	
6		topics all the time.		6		consultants.	
7	Q	Okay. So please tell me what you		7	Q	Had a problem been identified that required	
8	Q	specifically remember being discussed		8	V	MRO to make it more attractive for the MRO	
9		regarding business opportunities that M2		9		sales consultants?	
10		was pursuing for MAXIMO at these meetings.		10	A	It was a combination of the extra expense	
ł		I don't remember any specifics.		11	Λ	required to support M2 and the commission	
111	A	Please tell me specifically what you		12		structure.	
12	Q	remember discussing with Mr. Bevington		13	Q	Try to answer the question I asked you?	
14		regarding the flow of opportunities from		13	Q	MR. RESNICK: Can you read it	
15		MRO to M2.		15		back, please.	
		I don't have any specifics about that.		16		(The question was read back as	
116	A	Do you remember any specifics being		17		follows:	
17	Q	discussed at any of these meetings		18		"QUESTION: Had a problem been	
18		regarding how the two sales forces would		19		identified that required MRO to make it	
19						more attractive for the MRO sales	
20		work together? No.		20		consultants?")	
21	A			21		Yes.	
22	Q	Do you have any specific recollection at		22	A		
23		all of anything discussed at any meeting where Mr. Bevington was present?		23 24	Q	And what was the problem that had been identified?	
24							
١.			Page 66				Page 68
	A	No.		1	Α	The net revenue to MRO was too low to	
	Q	Do you remember whether you ever talked		2	_	generate commissions for the sales force.	
3		about the issue of MRO figuring out how to		3	Q	Do you have any recollection as to what	
4		pay commissions to its sales force for M2		4		that net revenue figure was?	
5		web hosting business?		5	A	Well, you've referred to the 80 percent	
6	Α	Yes.		6		discount, so it was 20 percent net to MRO.	
	Q	Do you remember specifically what was		7	Q	And the totals were too low to generate	
8		discussed?		8		commission, or was it based on the	
	A	No.		9		percentage?	
1	Q	Do you recall that in 2002 MRO and M2		10	A	It was based on a percentage.	
11		signed a new agreement?		11	Q	Did Mr. Parker report to you on the	
12	Α	Yes.		12		progress of these negotiations to sign up a	
13	Q	Did Parker inform you that he was		13		new agreement with M2?	
14		attempting to renegotiate or sign a new		14	A	Yes.	
15		agreement with M2?		15	Q	Do you recall him telling you anything	
16	A	Yes.		16		specifically about any issues that were the	
1	Q	Did he tell you why?		17		subject of this negotiation other than the	
18	A	I think the primary reason was related to		18		change in the discount rate?	
19		the discount schedule.		19	A	No.	
20	Q	MRO wanted the discount to be reduced, is		20	Q	Other than discussing M2's capabilities in	
21		that correct?		21		these telephone conferences to which we	
22	A	Correct.		22		discussed earlier and at the sales	
23	Q	Why did it want the discount to be reduced?		23		meetings, did MRO do anything else to	
24	A	I think for two primary reasons.		24		inform its sales force about M2's	

EXHIBIT

Н

M2 Consulting, Inc. v. MRO Software, Inc., et al.

Jeffrey A. Foley Vol. 1, October 5, 2005

Page 1 Page 3 **PROCEEDINGS** Volume I [1] Pages 1 to 156 **JEFFREY A. FOLEY** [2] Exhibits 53 to 59 [3] a witness called for examination by counsel for the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [4] Defendants, having been satisfactorily identified by DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS [5] the production of his driver's license and being (EASTERN DIVISION) [6] first duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined M2 CONSULTING, INC., [7] and testified as follows: Plaintiff. **DIRECT EXAMINATION** [8] Civil Action BY MR. GESMER: [9] No. 03-12589-GAO **Q**: Will you state your full name, please. [10] MRO SOFTWARE, INC., and CRAIG A: Jeffrey Allen Foley, F-o-l-e-y. NEWFIELD, [11] Q: Where do you live, Mr. Foley? Defendants. [12] DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY A. FOLEY, a witness A: Newnan, Georgia. [13] called on behalf of the Defendants, taken pursuant Q: And your street address? [14] to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before A: 25 Heron Point in Newnan, Georgia. [15] Linda A. Walsh, Registered Professional Reporter and Q: Will you describe your educational [16] Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of background briefly post high school. [17] Massachusetts, at the Offices of Gesmer Updegrove A: Post high school? [18] LLP, 40 Broad Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on Q: Post high school. [19] Wednesday, October 5, 2005, commencing at 10:05 a.m. A: United States Navy. [20] PRESENT Q: And what rank did you rise to in the U.S. [21] Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C. [22] Navy? (By Mark S. Resnick, Esq.) 321 Boston Post Road, Sudbury, MA 01776, **A**: E6. [23] for the Plaintiff. Q: You don't have a college degree of any [24] Gesmer Updegrove LLP (By Lee T Gesmer, Esq.) 40 Broad Street, Boston, MA 02109, for the Defendants.

[1] **SORT**?

Page 2

Page 4

INDEX WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS JEFFREY A. FOLEY BY MR. GESMER **EXHIBITS** NO DESCRIPTION PAGE Handwritten diagram by Mr. Gesmer 96 54 E-mail string to Ed Neilan from Jeff 108 Foley 55 E-mail dated March 29, 2004, to Rick 116 Bevington from Steve Platt 56 Letter dated March 16, 2005, to Tim 120 McDonough 57 Letter dated February 10, 2004, to David Fifer from Stephen Platt E-mail string to Steve from Rick 133 Bevington 59 Document entitled "M2 Consulting, 135 Inc., Mantis for Maximo Application Hosting Business and Implementation

Deliverable"

Q: Will you describe your work background starting with post high school? A: United States Navy for nine years. Do you want to know what I did in the Navy? [6] **Q**: Not now, no. A: United States Navy nine years. Servidyne Systems for I don't know how many years, two or three, and then M2 Consulting. Q: And you began working with Mr. Bevington at [11] [12] M2 Consulting in 1999; is that correct? A: It sounds right. 1131 **Q**: You were a cofounder of M2 Consulting, [14] [15] correct? A: Correct. [16] Q: And you are a shareholder? [17] A: Yes. [18] **Q**: What percentage of the company shares do [19] [20] you own? A: Just under 20 percent. [21] Q: Are you a director? [22] [23] A: Yes. [24] **Q**: Are you an officer?

Page 43

Page 41

[1] always summer, at an MRO user conference where [2] obviously we met with various members of the sales

131 team for MRO, different people at different levels. [4] I was introduced to them through either Rick or

[5] Milton or Ray Miciek would walk me around and

introduce me to different people.

We set up a booth. We paid to have a booth [7] [8] there. So during that time yes, we talked to as many salespeople as we could to let them know what [10] we were doing. At that point, you know, our [11] relationship was — in my mind M2 was, you know, kind [12] of an ensemb, but we had some support with Ray [13] Miciek. He works out of Atlanta, and he would [14] answer our questions and help us and introduce us to [15] different people in the sales force. So they were [16] aware of who we were, and in those tangential [17] meetings we were always trying to say, "We are here.

[18] We are available." So I met with several people, and [20] specifically we had a sit-down meeting with [21] Mr. Daniels at the MRO conference. He asked us to [22] talk about what we were doing, and how we are doing. [23] And he was talking about ASP — ACSP, because that [24] was his big concern, where does the — in our model

Q: So you heard MRO struggling with the

[2] economic model of renting its software through M2's

(3) hosting contract or licensing it directly to

[4] customers?

[1]

[5]

[6]

A: I heard that?

Q: Yes.

A: At some point after we had been involved in [7] [8] this agreement and worked with their team it became

[9] clear that our product, as we envisioned it, wasn't

[10] being deployed or utilized as we had hoped through

[11] the MRO sales force. And in our mind, based on

[12] those conversations and some I participated in

[13] specifically, that ACSP and compensating the sales

[14] force was something that needed to be worked out.

[15] Unfortunately it took a long time, but I know that

[16] Rick spent a lot of time working that out, creating

[17] models, sending e-mails to Ray, talking to Bob. So

[18] eventually, you know, we thought we would help them [19] help themselves, and that was our approach, trying

[20] to give them ways and show them financially how they

[21] could pay their sales force with those dollars. And [22] this is between 2000 and 2002.

Q: Okay. Were you present at any meet ings [24] with MRO where MRO committed to roll out the M2

[3]

Page 44

[1] how does MRO get ACSP dollars, and we talked to him [2] about, "Well, when you rent through us we are paying

[3] you royalties. When they rent through us we pay MRO

[4] royalties. So they get a smaller dollar every

[5] month, but over 12 months they literally recoup just

[6] as if they sold it themselves." He then said, "What

[7] about ACSP," and those conversations happened a few

[8] times. I was in Atlanta when I want to say Ted

[9] Williams came down — it may not have been Ted —

[10] and again, they were concerned about ACSP, how do

[11] they do it. So it became very apparent to us that

[12] there was some resistance, even though we had this

[13] agreement, on the sales side because they just

[14] couldn't see how all the dollars that could be

[15] generated, how they get distributed in MRO. So I

[16] was involved in some of those meetings tangentially

[17] where I get introduced. I sit down, and I listen to

[18] the conversations. Does that help you?

Q: Yes, yes. That's what I was looking for.

[20] ASCP is another term for maintenance and support; is

[21] that right?

[22]

A: I think it's ACSP.

Q: ACSP, maintenance and support? [23]

A: Basically.

[1] service to the MRO sales force?

A: Between 2000 and 2002? [2]

Q: Yes, between the two agreements.

A: At that point I feel like I was in meetings

[5] where everyone knew that there was a problem in

[6] getting it rolled out. So getting it rolled out

[7] obviously was our goal. I actually went to a sales

[8] presentation in Atlanta that Rick did to Ray

[9] Miciek's team in Atlanta to show them what we do,

[10] who we are, present them, because we felt we needed

to take the lead because MRO wasn't. So Rick went

[12] into Atlanta. I went with him. He talked to the

[13] folks, explained our model, explained how to sell

[14] it, gave them cut sheets for us. So, you know, in

[15] my mind it was just inferred. They wanted to. It

[16] just wasn't happening. And Ray Miciek at least

[17] provided some gateways to access his sales team.

But as a global rollout it never happened, [18] [19] and in my mind almost all the meetings were about

[20] when can we get this globally rolled out. So I

[21] would have to say it inferred that everyone had the

[22] expectation that it would happen. It just didn't

[23] happen during that period.

Q: Were you present at any meeting before the

M2 Consulting, Inc. v. MRO Software, Inc., et al.

Jeffrey A. Foley Vol. 1, October 5, 2005

Page 59

Page 60

manus or the	Page 57			Pa
[1]	May 22nd, 2002. First, have you ever spoken to	[1]	things. I was there. He'd sound off information to	ľ
[2]	N 011 1	[2]	me. I was a sounding board, maybe a gut check. So	
[3]	A: I don't believe so.	[3]	I am sure we had conversations over the time about	t
[4]	Q: Now, do you see in this cover e-mail which	[4]	this contract here and there and mostly because it	
[5]	is, by the way, sending another draft of the	[5]	wasn't working with the previous one, and if they	
[6]	contract back, it says, "The main area of	[6]	want us to enter another one — it was a financial	
[7]	enhancement" —	[7]	thing, and we felt the only way their sales force	
[8]	A: Where are we?	[8]	would live up to it is if MRO recognized them as a	
[9]	Q : I am in the middle of that paragraph where	[9]	sales force and quota credits. So it doesn't	
[10]	he says, "Hi, Nancy."		surprise me that on a financial basis Rick wanted to	
[11]	A: Okay.		make sure they helped out their own sales force	
[12]	Q : The third sentence in, maybe the fourth		within this document if they in fact did. But as a	
[13]	sentence in — well, first he says, "Attached are	[13]	company, that was one of our concerns with that is	
[14]	modified agreements for your review and comment" and		that their sales force feels they didn't get	
[15]	then he — jumping ahead two sentences he says, "The		credited. The topic comes up, but I wasn't	
[16]		[16]	specifically involved in it.	
[17]	, 8 - 1	[17]		
[18]	believe is part of the intent, that being to have an		Mr. Bevington in effect, "Make sure that this new	
[19]	arrangement that allows MRO sales to offer hosting		agreement establishes an obligation for MRO to com-	np.
[20]	to prospects who fit the profile or who request it,		its sales force so they will have an incentive to	
[21]		[21]	sell our service"?	
[22]	•	[22]	A: Probably not in those words, no. But	
[23]	A: Yes, I do.		obviously one of our concerns, as him and I would	
[24]	Q: Do you remember seeing this paragraph	[24]	talk, was that MRO does compensate the sales force	

[1] before today — have you seen this paragraph in [1] somehow. I don't even know how that gets [2] Exhibit 21 before today? [2] memorialized at the end of the day, but from my A: I don't recall it, but Rick very well may [3] perspective the only thing that him and I ever [4] have forwarded it to me. If he did, I would have [4] talked about, that was my "must have," is that MRO [5] releases it to the sales force. That's part of [5] given it to you. I don't think I have seen it [6] specifically given to me. [6] their tool kit. Rick and I would talk about that, [7] and he had meetings to get those assurances. Other Q: Do you remember discussing with [8] Mr. Bevington during the period this contract was [8] than that, to me this was a financial document to under negotiation — and you remember it was under 9 make sure — because they were asking a whole lot [10] negotiation for five or six months? [10] more of us in this document. The other document was A: I know that they started it, and I know it [11] great as far as I was concerned. The requirement, [12] took a very long time to get done. I don't know the [12] for me to be happy, was that their sales force gets [13] this product — our services gets released to their [13] **Q**: Let me represent to you it was roughly [14] sales force. That was my primary concern in life. 1141 [15] April of 2002 to November 2002. Q: Did you ever say to Mr. Bevington, during A: Okay. [16] this spring and summer and fall of 2002 while this [16] Q: Do you remember discussing with contract was being negotiated, "Gee, Rick, make sure [17] Mr. Bevington including in the agreement a provision [18] that this contract requires that MRO roll out our [19] that would establish an arrangement that would allow [19] product to its sales force"? [20] MRO sales to offer hosting to prospects who fit the A: No. No, probably not. Because by the time [21] profile or who request it and still be recognized [21] we signed this contract we were given assurances with quota credit and comp? [22] that it was going to go right to sales. It's [23] something that we strung on for two years. They A: I am going to say not specifically, but [24] Rick and I both — you know, Rick handled these [24] gave us assurances that it was going right to sales.

Page 58

EXHIBIT

Ι

M2 Consulting, Inc. v. MRO Software, Inc., et al.

Seth T. Stewart Vol. 1, October 4, 2005

Page 1 Page 3 **PROCEEDINGS** Volume I [1] Pages 1 to 117 [2] SETH T. STEWART Exhibits: None [3] a witness called for examination by counsel for the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [4] Defendants, having been satisfactorily identified by DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS [5] the production of his driver's license and being (EASTERN DIVISION) [6] first duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined M2 CONSULTING, INC., [7] and testified as follows: Plaintiff. **DIRECT EXAMINATION** [8] Civil Action VS BY MR. GESMER: [9] No. 03-12589-GAO Q: Mr. Stewart, I am Lee Gesmer. You are [10] MRO SOFTWARE, INC., and CRAIG [11] testifying here today in the case of M2 versus MRO, NEWFIELD, correct? Defendants. DEPOSITION OF SETH T. STEWART, a witness A: Correct. [13] called on behalf of the Defendants, taken pursuant Q: Where do you live? [14] to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before A: New Canaan, Connecticut. [15] Linda A. Walsh, Registered Professional Reporter and Q: What's your address? Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of A: 96 Cross Ridge Road. [17] Massachusetts, at the Offices of Gesmer Updegrove Q: Where are you employed? [18] LLP, 40 Broad Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on A: I work for a company called Open Business [19] Tuesday, October 4, 2005, commencing at 10:08 a.m. [20] Exchange. PRESENT Q: Where is that company located? [21] Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C. A: It's headquartered in London, England. (By Mark S. Resnick, Esq.) [22] 321 Boston Post Road, Sudbury, MA 01776, Q: Do you refer to it as OBI? [23] for the Plaintiff. A: It's OBE. [24] Gesmer Updegrove LLP (By Lee T. Gesmer, Esq.) 40 Broad Street, Boston, MA 02109, for the Defendants Page 4 Q: OBE. Sorry. [2] And what's your position with OBE? A: I am — my exact title is vice-president, [4] corporate accounts. I am responsible for sales and [5] business development. **Q**: Is that a full-time job? [6] A: Yes. [7] [8] Q: Does OBE have an office in the United States? A: Yes. Its U.S. headquarters is in San [11] Francisco, and we have satellite and virtual offices around the country. [12] Q: Will you summarize your educational Page 2 ,[13] INDEX [14] background post high school? WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS A: Yes. I graduated — I have a B.A. from :[15] SETH T. STEWART [16] Princeton University and then I took advanced course BY MR. GESMER 3 [17] work in accounting from NYU. **EXHIBITS** Q: So your only degree is the undergraduate [18] None [19] degree from Princeton? A: That's correct. [20] Q: When did you graduate from Princeton? [21] A: 1985. [22] Q: And would you summarize for us your [24] employment history post graduation from Princeton.

Page 95

Page 96

Page 93

[1] agreement with MRO Software," and it goes on to say, "MRO may sue to protect this market from penetration [3] by Indus." Do you remember discussing that issue

with Mr. Bevington? [4]

remember the particulars.

[5] A: Yes.

Q: What was the nature of your discussion?

[6] A: I remember — I don't remember specifically [7] [8] talking about lawsuits, but I do remember that Indus was moving very quickly. They had a need to get into this business, and Rick had to put them — push them off really. I mean, they were moving very quickly, and Rick was postponing those discussions because he wanted to — didn't want to screw up the Maximo relationship. And you know, I think there was concern on Rick's part that if he just all of a sudden did something with Indus that there would be repercussions from MRO because there had been all these sort of referable or written agreements. So I [18] do remember having those conversations. I do not

Q: Look at the next exhibit, No. 28. Now, if [21] you look down at the bottom of the first page, [22] Mr. Bevington writes to Mr. Parker, "Thanks for [24] making the trip to the abbey. It was a great course [1] and then I drove home after that.

Q: What business between M2 and MRO was [3] discussed at this meeting or during this day?

A: During the golf round frankly there wasn't [5] a lot of — you know, there was a lot of casual

[6] conversation, not so much about business. Then we

[7] had lunch, and we were talking about the particulars

[8] of how this rollout would occur. And then, you

191 know, as we are walking Bob in the parking lot, you

[10] know, Rick asked Bob, "Bob, is this a done deal?"

[11] And Bob said, "Absolutely this is a done deal," And

[12] Rick said, "Are we going to roll this out to

[13] the" — "just to the middle market sales

[14] organization or is it going to be large and middle,"

[15] and Bob said, "Large and middle." But he

[16] emphatically reiterated that this was a done deal,

[17] that it had been approved by Chip. It had been

[18] approved by the powers at be, MRO, and, you know, it [19] was done.

Q: Let's back up a little bit. What business [20] [21] was discussed over lunch?

A: Again, I think we were talking a lot about [23] Rick's financials. You know, how many customers,

[24] what is the volume, how much do you get per customer

[1] and great fun"?

A: Yes.

Q: Now, is this the Rhode Island golf game you [3] [4] referred to?

A: Yes, yes. **[5]**

Q: Who attended this golf game? [6]

A: My recollection is it was myself, Rick [7] Bevington, Bob Parker and Ted Williams. [8]

Q: Drapeau was not there?

A: No. [10]

[9]

[13]

Q: Was this the first time you met [11]

[12] Mr. Williams?

A: Yes.

Q: What were the logistics for this meeting? [14] When did you arrive? When did you leave? When did [15]

others arrive? When did they leave? [16]

A: I spent the night in Newport the night [17] before. Rick and I stayed at the same hotel. He [18] and I drove to the golf course together. We arrived [20] there around 9:30, and I believe we had a 10:00

[21] tee-off time. And we played golf till about 1:30

and then we had a lunch meeting afterwards, and [23] after the lunch meeting we walked Bob Parker to his

[24] car. So that's basically the day, the logistics,

Page 94 [1] per seat, blah-blah-blah, a lot of that type of

[2] conversation, and it was really to bring Ted up to

[3] speed, not for Bob's benefit. I think it was Ted

[4] wanting to — if I understood correctly, Bob

[5] reported to Ted who reported to Chip, and so this

was Ted's opportunity to ask directly, you know,

[7] kind of what the business was all about. So it was

a bit of an education for Ted, but I specifically

remember kind of going through — for some reason I

[10] think there was a spreadsheet there or something where Ted was going through the numbers, you know,

all the customers that M2 had, how much the recurring

billing rate was.

Q: So Mr. Williams was being educated —

A: Correct —

Q: — by M2 business? [16]

A: — on the specifics of the economics of his [17]

business. [18]

[14]

[15]

1191

Q: On the economics of the business?

A: Yes. [20]

Q: And there was no discussion about, you [22] know, this done deal, you know, rollout, those

[23] topics, during the four of you at lunch? It was

[24] later when you walked Mr. Parker to his car?

Volume I Pages 1 to 53 Exhibits: None UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS (EASTERN DIVISION) M2 CONSULTING, INC., Plaintiff. . Civil Action vs : No. 03-12589-GAO MRO SOFTWARE, INC., and CRAIG NEWFIELD, Defendants. DEPOSITION OF DAVID A. BIGLER, a witness called on behalf of the Defendants, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Linda A. Walsh, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of Gesmer Updegrove LLP. 40 Broad Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on Friday, October 7, 2005, commencing at 10:05 a.m. PRESENT Fee, Rosse & Lanz, P.C. (By Mark S. Resnick, Esq.) 321 Boston Post Road, Sudbury, MA 01776, for the Plaintiff. Gesmer Updegrove LLP (By Lee T. Gesmer, Esq.) 40 Broad Street, Boston, MA 02109, for the Defendants

Page 1 Page 3 **PROCEEDINGS** [1] **DAVID A. BIGLER** [2] [3] a witness called for examination by counsel for the [4] Defendants, having been satisfactorily identified by [5] the production of his driver's license and being [6] first duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as follows: **DIRECT EXAMINATION** [8] BY MR. GESMER: [9] Q: Will you state your full name, please. [10] A: David Alan Bigler. [11] Q: Where do you live, Mr. Bigler? [12] A: 9550 South Ocean Drive, Apartment 608, [13] [14] Jensen Beach, J-e-n-s-e-n, Beach, Florida 34957. Q: And where are you employed? **A:** I'm not employed. [16] Q: Will you summarize your educational [17] [18] background for us starting with graduation from high [19] school. A: I graduated from Cleveland Heights High School in January of 1953, went to Purdue University [22] that fall, graduated in June of 1958 with a Bachelor

of Science in Mechanical Engineering.

[1] us starting with graduation from Purdue.

Q: Would you summarize your job history with

INDEX
WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
DAVID A. BIGLER
BY MR. GESMER 3
EXHIBITS
None

A: As I say, I graduated from Purdue in June [3] of 1958. I took a job with Johnson Controls, [4] Incorporated. At that time it was known as Johnson [5] Service Company, and I did a short stint in the [6] Cleveland office which was where my home was; and (7) then I had to go to ROTC summer camp in Fort [8] Belvoir, Virginia, for six weeks I think it was. [9] When I got out of that I took two weeks off and got [10] married and then went to work the 1st of September, [11] right after Labor Day, with Johnson Controls in the [12] Indianapolis, Indiana, branch as a sales engineer. In the fall of 1969 I was promoted to Page 2 [14] branch manager of the office in Grand Rapids, [15] Michigan, and then in 1973 I was promoted to branch [16] manager of the Philadelphia branch. In 1977 I was [17] promoted to vice-president of sales for the Canadian [18] company, Johnson Controls Limited. Subsequently, [19] about two years later, I was promoted to [20] vice-president and general manager of the Canadian [21] company. In 1981 I was promoted to managing director [23] of Europe headquartered in Brussels, Belgium, and in [24] nineteen — did I say '81 or '83?

Page 4

David A. Bigler Vol. 1, October 7, 2005 M2 Consulting, Inc. v. MRO Software, Inc., et al.

Page 48

Page 45		Page 47
[1] I get his voice-mail. I leave a voice-mail saying	[1] do we go from here," right?	
[2] who I was and my phone number and what I wanted to	[2] A : Yes.	
[3] talk about. I hung up. I thought, well, that's	[3] Q : And one possibility was to sell M2 to MRO?	
[4] probably the last I'll ever hear from him. He's a	[4] A: Correct.	
[5] busy guy. He doesn't know me from Adam except for	[5] Q : And there were discussions between M2 and	
[6] this one time we played golf. About two weeks later	[6] MRO, along those lines, correct?	
[7] he calls me back, and that was the telephone call	[7] A: That's my understanding.	
[8] you are referring to.	[8] Q : Did you participate in any of those	
[9] Q : And what was discussed during that call?	[9] discussions?	
[10] A: I said, "Where are we on" — "What's going	[10] A: No.	
[11] on," and he said, again, "We've got the compensation	[11] Q : You didn't go to any of the meetings that	
[12] issues. Our accounting people are working on it.	[12] occurred around those discussions?	
[13] We are getting close. We have got the recognition	[13] A : No.	
[14] of revenue issue. They are getting close on that.	[14] Q : And —	
[15] And there are a couple of minor things we want Rick	[15] A: When you say that, you mean meetings with	
[16] to do, which I don't think will be a problem," and	[16] MRO?	
[17] then I said, "Well, that sounds like we are moving	[17] Q : Meetings with MRO, yes. Yes.	
[18] along. How long is it going to be? 30 days or so?"	[18] A: No, that's correct, I did not.	
[19] He said, "At the maximum, probably less." I said,	[19] Q : That's what I mean. In late summer or	
[20] "Thanks very much for returning my call. I	[20] early fall of 2003 the M2 board learned that MRO and	
[21] appreciate it. Next time you are in Atlanta give me	[21] M2 couldn't come to an agreement on the price of M	12?
[22] a call, and we'll tee it up at Atlanta Country	[22] A: I believe that's correct. I can't tell you	
[23] Club." Because this guy is an avid golfer I thought	[23] that that's for certain what was said, but that's	
[24] he would like a chance to do that.	[24] the kind of gist of it.	

[1] Q : You then called Mr. Bevington and relayed	[1] Q : Okay. And those discussions broke up?
[2] this to him?	[2] A : Yes.
[3] A: I e-mailed him.	[3] Q : Now, did you as a board member of M2 at that
[4] Q : That's the last time you spoke to anybody	[4] point say to the other board members, "Well, maybe
[5] at MRO?	[5] it's time to go back to Indus and see if we can
[6] A : That's correct.	[6] resume those discussions and have Indus buy M2"?
[7] Q : Now, as time went on in 2003 you learned	[7] A: I don't recall that discussion.
[8] that MRO was not going to roll out this service to	[8] Q : You don't recall that you suggested that?
[9] its sales force, correct?	[9] A: I did not suggest it.
[10] A: Correct.	[10] Q : And you don't recall anyone else suggesting
[11] Q : Mr. Bevington told you that?	[11] it?
[12] A : Well, there was a date set for the rollout,	[12] A : No.
[13] as I understand it. I can't remember what it was.	[13] Q : Did the board of directors vote to file
[14] But that date came and went and nothing happened.	[14] suit against MRO?
[15] And then, as I recall, Parker called Bevington and	[15] A : I wouldn't say we voted. We have never
[16] said, "The deal is off," or something like that.	[16] voted on anything. We talked about it and then
[17] Q : And you learned that MRO was going to sell	[17] agreed that's what we should do.
[18] its own hosted service of Maximo?	[18] Q : Are you paid a fee — a director's fee for
[19] A : Yes.	[19] being on the board of M2?
[20] Q : And because they wanted their salespeople	[20] A: Yes. I get a free lunch after the board
[21] to sell their own service they weren't going to	[21] meeting that Rick pays for That's it. I assume M2
[22] promote M2's service?	[22] pays it, by the way, but Rick picks up the tab.
[23] A: Correct.	MR. GESMER: I may be done. Let's take a
[24] Q : At that point the M2 board discussed "Where	short break. Let me look over my extensive notes.

Page 46